

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_123448
Title of the Manuscript:	Barrier to Transit – Annular Pancreas Causing Gastric Outlet Obstruction in an Adult Male: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case reports

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The management of annular pancreas contains review of relevant pathophysiology as well as clinical features diagnosis, and treatment options in this section. Finally, source list has been comprised with updated and prospective findings. Overall, this is a well-written case report with a good structure and relevant information.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title could be more specific. Consider mentioning the surgical procedure performed (e.g., Duodeno-Jejunostomy with Roux limb). Consider alternative title: "Adult Presentation of Annular Pancreas Managed with Roux-en-Y Duodeno-Jejunostomy"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The authors has written structured abstract. But it is suggested that the abstract should be unstructured, and more precise, not more than 150 words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manner in which the case is documented is systematic based on the headings which are; Introduction, Case presentation, Discussion, and conclusion. The authors offer a good account of the patient and his presentation, investigations and management.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent, but it is more appropriate if written in uniform Vancouver style.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Suggestions for improvement: Introduction: Reduce and emphasise the fact that adult annular pancreas is a very rare lesion and often presents differently. Case Presentation: Observe patient's age and comorbidities that may have evidential link with his chief complaint. Please include clear captions to fig.1 and fig. 2. Discussion: Make a brief summary of differential diagnosis and what will follow in the next few weeks and in the future. By addressing the weaknesses and incorporating the suggestions, the authors can strengthen the manuscript for publication in the Asian Journal of Case Reports.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Asif
Department, University & Country	Sialkot Medical College, Pakistan