

## ReviewForm3

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofAgriculturalExtension,Economics&amp;Sociology</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJAEES_122373
TitleoftheManuscript:	CostsandProfitAssessmentofLayerEggsFarminginPunjab
TypeoftheArticle	Research

# ReviewForm3

## PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript because it is well written and gives good indicators of the profitability of growing layer farms around the world, especially in developing countries, and a reproducible methodology that can be exploited by scientists around the world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract, as in all the text, the authors should specify the monetary units used (Euro? Dollar? etc.). Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methodology used to carry out a study and write an article is crucial to the quality of the article produced. In this article, it is easily reproducible and appropriate. The frequencies (%) and ratios calculated are sufficient for a clear understanding of the results obtained.	
Are there references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES: we found 20 references in the manuscript, of which 35%, 55% and only 10% were less than 10 years old, between 10 and 20 years old and up to 20 years old respectively. The majority of these references relate to the economic field in question in this work	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## Reviewer Details:

Name:	Timbilfou Kiendrebeogo
Department, University & Country	Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique, Burkina Faso