

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123173
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Insights from Marine Exports in India: An Economic Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is preferable because its reliance on secondary data and transparent acknowledgment of the "author's own calculations" demonstrate a commitment to academic integrity. The utilization of Excel for data analysis underscores the accessibility and effectiveness of widely available tools and techniques in conducting meaningful research. By tracing the trajectory of growth or development over time, the author effectively illustrates the dynamic nature of the subject studied, offering insights into patterns and trends that inform the broader discourse.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it's preferable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of a paper is the key to the entire theme. It reveals the objective of the work. It is preferable that the purpose of the research objective should be clarified without directly presenting the results of the research here. As the word 'volatility' is used so many times in the abstract, it can be avoided. It is advice to rewrite the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure of the manuscript is not adequate. The objectives, findings, research questions, and research gap is missing from the content. Need to more yearly revision of data.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents a significant advancement in the field, offering novel insights that could potentially shape future research directions. Its comprehensive methodology and robust exponential model under Trend Analysis through the use of Excel and charts contribute to its reliability which making it a valuable resource for scholars and practitioners alike and fully appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The analysis is recent. But the case for the conclusion would have been stronger if there had been a short explanation of the decline in exports during the pandemic period.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	There is no clear indication of which methods were used in these studies.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avishek Naskar
Department, University & Country	Bankim Sardar College, India