

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_122334</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Role of toxin produced by <i>Alternaria alternata</i> in leaf blight of anthurium and its detoxification by antagonists</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article will be useful for those working on detoxification of pathogens.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Summary can be detailed with results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I found the method to be correct because the experimental steps in the method section were based on other studies done before and the method seemed logically appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	For a study conducted with such a gradual and difficult method, the results and discussion sections are very weak. The results section could be more explanatory and the discussion section could be discussed by comparing with more up-to-date sources.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Demet Yilmazkaya Gogus</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Gaziantep Islam Science and Technology University, Turkiye</b>