

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123569
Title of the Manuscript:	UTILITY PERCEPTION OF FARMERS TOWARDS M-KISAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript meet up with the prevailing act of IcT in agriculture The word utility perception on M-Kisan portal is quite an interface between farmers and the global warning or treats in agricultural environment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, with few amendment. See manuscript comment box	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its good, but with minor corrections. See the manuscript the comment box on the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The author need to amend the subsection on methodology using materials and method See the manuscript comment box	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The demographic survey in the four villages is good considering the reason for their selections. The technology under interrogation to suit or aid the farmers for information to enhance high yield is very paramount to investigate the farmer's utility perception. Hence from the author the government need to train the end user of the equipment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Very ok	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Let him define acronym/ abbreviation before usage	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amadi Oko Amadi
Department, University & Country	Akanu Ibiam Federal Polytechnic Unwana, Nigeria