

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123337
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect Of Leaf Clipping And Split Application Of Nitrogen On Productivity Of Bridegroom Rice
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This could give traditional rice farmers on the idea of improving their rice production. The study is new and could be replicated to the other varieties of rice. The data are solid and significant which really contributes to the improvement of rice production and to explore more research opportunities based on the output.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of Leaf Clipping and Nitrogen Split Application on Bridegroom Rice Productivity	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • F in Field in the first sentence of the abstract must be small letter. • One to two sentences of the problem or gap of the study. Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Give background about the characteristics of a bridegroom rice. • Cite the advantages in leaf clipping and present studies that use leaf clipping and its results, for one paragraph. Methods <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The DAT of K application in 4 equal splits must be stated. • Cultural management practices of bridegroom rice production must be elaborated and highlighted especially if this is a traditional variety. • 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is no problem of the structure of the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results of the study are ok and really contribute to the body of science. The study is new for me as a reviewer since leaf clipping is not a practice in rice production in the Philippines. The data are enough to convince on the best results of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Fifty percent of the references belong to 10 year recency and 50% are old articles. Consider to add more references or at least achieve 75% of the references belong to 10 year recency.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English is ok but there should be a refinement of the sentence construction. Some sentences are too long which could lead to difficulty in comprehension. Revisions on sentence structure must be considered.	
Optional/General comments	NONE	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nyle A. Pardillo
Department, University & Country	State University of Northern Negros, Philippines