

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120820
Title of the Manuscript:	Conservation Tillage Practices and Their Role in Sustainable Farming Systems
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article presents question of present interest, considering the topic for agricultural sustainability and the contributions of the conservation practices presented to soil health and structure, as a major natural resource. I find that there is repetition of general information on the characteristics of conservation practices: Definition, benefits, challenges. Specific results are missing from modern research, respective citations in the text, to support the argumentative part of the article.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>A very well presented summary.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>1. After subtitle „Benefits of Conservation Tillage“, Please number the next ones: Soil Health, Water Conservation , Carbon Sequestration and Climate Change Mitigation ... starting from 1.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>No statistical data processing was applied in the article.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -</p>	<p>It is necessary to add contemporary references. About 2010-2023 years</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.The crop residues in the undisturbed areas reduce evaporation and improve water retention, making the soil more resilient to dry conditions. 2. The part “Benefits of Conservation Tillage” repeats the characteristics of the exposed conservation practices, above.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angelina Muhova
Department, University & Country	Field Crops Institute, Bulgaria