

# Screening of tomato germplasm lines against major diseases under naturally ventilated polyhouse

**Commented [DP1]:** Screening of tomato germplasm against fusarium wilt and tomato leaf curl virus disease in naturally ventilated polyhouses.

This title will be more suitable.

## ABSTRACT:

**AIMS:** Screening of tomato germplasm lines against major diseases under naturally ventilated polyhouse.

**Commented [DP2]:** Mention name of the diseases

**Place and Duration of Study:** The experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2020-2021 under naturally ventilated poly house, which located at Center of Excellence, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem.

**Methodology:** Total 21 tomato genotypes which included 18 germplasm lines and 3 commercial cultivars as a check (Arka vikas, Polyana and BSS 1006) collected from the HRS, Venkataramannagudem which were screened against major diseases of tomato under naturally ventilated polyhouse and to find out resistance source.

**Results:** Screening results revealed that out of 21 genotypes screened, total eight lines, namely VRSL-36, VRSL-45, VRSL-104, VRSL-114, VRSL-134, VRSL-182, VRSL-224 and VRSL-164 were grouped under moderately resistant reaction to ToLCV disease. Among 18 lines only two lines, namely VRSL-40 and VRSL-134 were grouped under highly resistant reaction to fusarium wilt disease under naturally ventilated polyhouse conditions.

\*VRSL- Venkataramannagudem *Solanum lycopersicum*

**Key words:** Germplasm lines, Tomato leaf curl virus, fusarium wilt, VRSL.

## INTRODUCTION:

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of the most popular vegetable crop in the world, shares a coveted position in India. It is one of the important food and cash crop for many low-income farmers in the tropical countries so also regarded as poor man's apple. Among the vegetable crops tomato ranks the second position in world and rank first among the processing crops. It is a native of tropical America and is cultivated in about 130 different countries. Brazil, China, Cuba, Egypt, Indonesia, Russia, Spain etc., are the leading producers of tomato.

Tomato is one of the versatile vegetable with wide usage in Indian culinary tradition. It is used as a fresh vegetable and also variety of processed products such as juice, ketchup, sauce, canned fruits, puree, paste, etc. Tomato is rich source of vitamins and minerals mainly rich in vitamin C. It is a good source of "lycopene" pigment which is largely responsible for the red colour of fruit.

The major limiting factors towards production of optimum yield are considerable biotic stresses caused by fungi, bacteria, viruses, viroids, nematodes and insect-pests in existing varieties and hybrids.

Under protected cultivation, tomato is seriously impaired due to increasing infections of early blight (*Alternaria solani*), Fusarium wilt and tomato leaf curl virus (ToLCV) diseases.

Vegetable production in our country is significantly influenced by the seasonality and weather conditions. Extends of abundance and deficiency in production and availability cause fluctuations in the prices and quality of vegetables. Considering economic importance of the tomato under protected conditions the present investigation was carried out to identify the resistance tomato germplasm lines against major diseases under naturally ventilated poly house.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 18 tomato germplasm lines were collected from the HRS, Venkataramannagudem and screened against major diseases under naturally ventilated polyhouse. Disease infection was recorded on 18 germplasm lines using standard disease rating scales of respective diseases. Arka vikas, Polyana and BSS1006 were used as a susceptible checks.

**Table 1. Tomato germplasm lines**

<b>1</b>	VRSL 18	<b>7</b>	VRSL 42	<b>13</b>	VRSL 180
<b>2</b>	VRSL 24	<b>8</b>	VRSL 45	<b>14</b>	VRSL 182
<b>3</b>	VRSL 28	<b>9</b>	VRSL 104	<b>15</b>	VRSL 223
<b>4</b>	VRSL 36	<b>10</b>	VRSL 106	<b>16</b>	VRSL 224

<b>5</b>	VRSL38	<b>11</b>	VRSL 114	<b>17</b>	VRSL 41
<b>6</b>	VRSL40	<b>12</b>	VRSL 134	<b>18</b>	VRSL 164

**3.7.1 The details of the screening of tomato germplasm lines were as follows.**

Crop : Tomato  
 Location : Centre of Excellence, Venkataramannagudem.  
 Number of Germplasm : 18  
 Spacing : 50x40cm  
 Checks : Arka [Vijikas](#). Polyana, BSS 1006.  
 Season : *Rabi*

**Table 2. Disease rating scale employed for scoring the fusarium wilt disease of tomato genotypes. (Sallam *et al.* 2013)**

Per cent disease incidence	Reaction group	Symbol
0 %	Immune	(I)
0.1-10 %	Highly resistant	(HR)
10.1-30 %	Moderately Resistant	(MR)

30.1-50 %	Moderately susceptible	(MS)
50.1-70 %	Susceptible	(S)
70.1-100 %	Highly susceptible	(HS)

**Table 3. Disease rating scale employed for scoring the tomato leaf curl virus disease of tomato genotypes. (Singh *et al.* 2014)**

Per cent disease incidence	Reaction group	Symbol
0	Immune	(I)
0.1-5 %	resistant	(R)
5.1-10 %	Moderately Resistant	(MR)
10.1-25 %	Moderately susceptible	(MS)
25.1-50 %	Susceptible	(S)
50.1-100 %	Highly susceptible	(HS)



**Plate 1. Field view of screening of tomato germplasm lines against major diseases of tomato under naturally ventilated poly house conditions.**

### **3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION:**

The experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2021 under naturally ventilated poly house, which located at Center of Excellence, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem. ~~Total 24~~ Twenty one tomato genotypes which included 18 germplasm lines and 3 commercial cultivars as a check (Arka ~~v~~Vikas, Polyana and BSS 1006) ~~were~~ collected from the HRS, Venkataramannagudem ~~which were~~ and screened against major diseases of tomato under naturally ventilated polyhouse (Table 1). Disease infection was recorded on 21 genotypes at weekly interval. It was recorded from 1<sup>st</sup> week of September to 2<sup>nd</sup> week of February. A total two diseases (1 fungal and 1 viral) *viz.*, Fusarium wilt of tomato (*Fusarium solani*), and tomato leaf curl virus were appeared during the course of investigation.

#### **3.1. Toamto leaf curl virus:**

Based on the reaction of each genotype they were grouped in to different categories for tomato leaf curl virus disease (Table 3) *viz.*, immune (I), resistant (R), moderately resistant (MR), moderately susceptible (MS), susceptible (S), and highly susceptible (HS) ~~s~~(Singh, (2014), ~~Table 3~~). Among the 21 genotypes screened lowest per cent disease incidence was recorded in VRSL -114 (5.88 %), VRSL-134

(6.54 %) and VRSL-136 (6.86 %). Highest per cent disease incidence was recorded in Arka vikas (17.87 %) (Table 4 and Fig 1).

Screening results revealed that out of 21 genotypes screened, all genotypes grouped under moderately resistant (MR) and moderately susceptible (MS) only. None of them grouped under immune (I), resistant (R), susceptible (S) and highly susceptible (HS) categories. Total eight lines, namely VRSL-36, VRSL-45, VRSL-104, VRSL-114, VRSL-134, VRSL-182, VRSL-224 and VRSL-164 were grouped under moderately resistant and remaining all genotypes were categorised under moderately susceptible reaction to ToLCV disease (Table 3). Genotypes were grouped based on their response to tomato leaf curl virus disease in naturally ventilated poly house (Table 6).

Many authors were reported the tomato leaf curl virus as the major limiting factors affecting health and yield of tomato during in field, and poly-house protected conditions (Hossain *et al.* 2015; Moriones *et al.* 2017; Tamilnayagan *et al.* 2017; Ullah *et al.* 2017). Moreover, the prevalence of insect vector efficiently transmits viruses and severely affects the production system (Lepidot, 2007). The resistant genotypes were found to act as natural barrier and disfavor virus incidence. (Friedmann *et al.* 1998; Ray *et al.* 2017).

### 3.2 Fusarium wilt:

Among the 21 genotypes screened lowest per cent disease incidence was recorded in VRSL -40 and VRSL-134 (9.79 %). Highest per cent disease incidence was recorded in Arka-vikas (32.28 %) (Table 4 and Fig 2). Based on the reaction of each genotype they were grouped in to different categories for fusarium wilt disease viz., immune (I), highly resistant (HR), moderately resistant (MR), moderately susceptible (MS), susceptible (S), and highly susceptible (HS) (Table 2). Results showed that total 21 genotypes screened, all genotypes grouped under highly resistant (HR) and moderately resistant (MR) only. None of them grouped under immune (I), moderate susceptible (MS), susceptible (S) and highly susceptible (HS) categories.

Among 18 lines only two lines, namely VRSL-40 and VRSL-134 were grouped under highly resistant and 18 genotypes, VRSL-18, VRSL-24, VRSL-28, VRSL-36, VRSL-38, VRSL-41, VRSL-42, VRSL-45, VRSL- 104, VRSL- 106, VRSL- 114, VRSL- 123, VRSL- 124, VRSL- 164, VRSL- 180, VRSL- 182, Polyana and BSS-1006 were categorised under moderately resistant and Arka vikas grouped under moderate susceptible (MS) reaction to fusarium wilt disease. (Table 4). Genotypes were grouped based on their response to fusarium wilt disease in naturally ventilated poly house (Table 5).

Intensive cultivation of a single crop in poly houses led to the emergence of soil-borne plant diseases. In some areas this situation was resulted in huge economic losses to the growers. Fusarium wilt is a serious disease of tomato especially in warmer conditions because the pathogen requires a soil temperature around 28° C for its growth and development (Gupta and Thind, 2006). It was observed that temperature from 25-33° C favours the development of fusarium wilt in ranged from 25-33° C. These findings were in agreement with early findings of Bawa, (2016) and Debbi *et al.* (2018).

**Table 4 Screening of tomato germplasm lines against major diseases of tomato under naturally ventilated polyhouse.**

Germplasm lines	% Disease Incidence			
	Fusarium wilt	Disease Reaction	Tomato Leaf curl virus	Disease Reaction
VRSL 18	21.96	MR	14.38	MS
VRSL 24	21.43	MR	10.13	MS
VRSL 28	19.05	MR	10.46	MS
VRSL 36	22.22	MR	6.86	MR
VRSL 38	19.05	MR	14.38	MS
VRSL 40	9.79	HR	11.11	MS
VRSL 42	20.11	MR	13.73	MS
VRSL 45	17.2	MR	8.5	MR
VRSL 104	18.78	MR	9.8	MR
VRSL 106	20.37	MR	12.75	MS
VRSL 114	21.16	MR	5.88	MR
VRSL 134	9.79	HR	6.54	MR
VRSL 180	19.31	MR	15.03	MS
VRSL 182	16.67	MR	7.19	MR
VRSL 223	14.29	MR	11.76	MS
VRSL 224	18.78	MR	9.15	MR
VRSL 41	19.31	MR	12.09	MS
VRSL 164	21.16	MR	7.19	MR
Arka <b>Vivikas</b>	32.28	MS	17.97	MS
<b>Polyana</b>	26.85	MR	13.73	MS
<b>BSS 1006</b>	24.87	MR	12.09	MS

\* HR=highly resistant    MR= moderately resistant    MS= moderately susceptible




Figure 1 Screening of tomato germplasm lines against tomato leaf curl virus disease




Figure 2. Screening of tomato germplasm lines against fusarium wilt disease.

**Table 5. Grouping of various tomato genotypes into different categories on the basis of their response to fusarium wilt disease.**

Reaction category	Per cent disease incidence	Genotype
Immune (I)	0	-
Highly resistant (HR)	1-10	VRSL -40, VRSL -134
Moderately Resistant (MR)	11-30	VRSL -18, VRSL -24, VRSL -28, VRSL -36, VRSL -38, VRSL -41, VRSL -42, VRSL -45, VRSL -104, VRSL -106, VRSL -114, VRSL -123, VRSL -124, VRSL -164, VRSL -180, VRSL -182, Polyana and BSS1006.
Moderately susceptible (MS)	31-50	Arka <u>V</u> ikas
Susceptible (S)	51-70	-
Highly susceptible (HS)	71-100	-

**Table 6. Grouping of various tomato genotypes into different categories on the basis of their response to tomato leaf curl virus disease.**

Reaction category	Per cent disease incidence	Genotype
Immune (I)	0	-
resistant (R)	0.1-5 %	-
Moderately Resistant (MR)	5-10 %	VRSL-36, VRSL-45, VRSL-104, VRSL-114, VRSL-134, VRSL-182, VRSL-224 and VRSL-164
Moderately susceptible (MS)	10-25 %	VRSL -18, VRSL -24, VRSL -28, , VRSL -38, VRSL -40 VRSL -42, VRSL -106, VRSL -180, VRSL -223, VRSL -41, Arka vikas, polyana and BSS-1006.
Susceptible (S)	25-50 %	-
Highly susceptible (HS)	50-100 %	-

**Conclusion:**

In present study it was concluded that out of 21 genotypes screened, total eight lines, namely VRSL-36, VRSL-45, VRSL-104, VRSL-114, VRSL-134, VRSL-182, VRSL-224 and VRSL-164 were grouped moderately resistant and remaining all genotypes were categorized under moderately susceptible reaction to ToLCV disease. Among 18 lines only two lines, namely VRSL-40 and VRSL-134 were grouped under highly resistant. These resistant lines/varieties can further be exploited in tomato breeding programmes i.e., these can directly be released as commercial cultivars and otherwise these can be used as source resistant parents in various crosses to transfer their resistance against ToMV.

**Commented [DP3]:** This statement can only be mentioned after artificial screening of germplasm under high disease pressure. Because in natural screening there can be chances of escape.

## References:

- Bawa, I. 2016. Management strategies of Fusarium wilt disease of tomato incited by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (Sacc.) *International Journal Advanced Academic Research*. 2(5): 1-5.
- Debbi, A, Bouregghda, H, Monte, E. and Hermosa, R. 2018. Distribution and genetic variability of *Fusarium oxysporum* associated with tomato diseases in Algeria and a biocontrol strategy with indigenous *Trichoderma* spp. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 9(282): 1-11.
- Friedmann, M, Lapidot, M, Cohen, S. and Pilowsky, M. 1998. A novel source of resistance to tomato yellow leaf curl virus exhibiting a symptomless reaction to viral infection. *Journal of American Society and Horticulture Sciences*. 123 (6) 1004-1007.
- Gupta, S.K. and Thind, T.S. 2006. Disease problems in vegetable production. *Scientific Publisher, Jodhpur*. 576.
- Hossain, M.F, Akanda, A.M, Hossain, M.M. and Ahmed, J.U. 2015. Screening of tomato genotypes against tomato purple vein virus. *Annals of Bangladesh Agriculture*. 21 (1 & 2): 89-97.
- Lepidot, M. 2007. Screening for TYLCV Resistance Plants using Whitefly-Mediated Inoculation. In: Czosnek H. (eds) *Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus Disease*. Springer, Dordrecht. 329-342.
- Moriones, E, Praveen, S. and Chakraborty, S. 2017. Review on Tomato Leaf Curl New Delhi Virus: An emerging virus complex threatening vegetable and fiber crops. *Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland*. 9(264): 1-18.
- Rai, N, Pathak, R. and Tirkey. T. 2001. Studies on relationship between environmental conditions on tomato leaf curl virus (TLCV) incidence. II. Screening of tomato genotypes and their stability against TLCV. *Progressive Horticulture*. 33(1): 184-189.
- Sallam, N.A, Shaima, N.R, Mohamed, M.S. and El-eslam, A.S. 2013. Formulations of *Bacillus* spp. and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for biocontrol of cantaloupe root rot caused by *Fusarium solani*. *Journal of Plant protection*. 6(1): 2019-2024.
- Singh, R.K , Rai, N, Singh, M, Singh, R. and Kumar, P. 2014. Effect of climate change on tomato leaf curl virus (ToLCV) disease in tomatoes. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 85 (2): 142-144.
- Tamilnayagan, T, Suganthy, M, Renukadevi, P. and Malathi, V.G. 2017. Screening of different germplasm against Groundnut Bud Necrosis Virus (GBNV) and Thrips in Tomato. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 6 (11): 2497-2502.
- Ullah, N, Ali, A, Ahmad, M, Fahim, M, Din, N. and Ahmad, F. 2017. Evaluation of tomato genotypes against tomato mosaic virus and its reduction on yield contributing parameters. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 49(4): 1585-1592.