

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_123278
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical and physicochemical properties of the lipid extract of Ricinodendron heudelotii almonds (Euphorbiaceae) from Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Research Paper

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Important in future prospectous	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title is suitable	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	NA	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	No, Add soxhlet apparatus photo from which the extraction was done	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The Manuscript is suitable but some issues in this manuscript	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Reference is sufficient	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English Quality is Good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Introduction is very short</li> <li>2. Add Plant Authentication letter Reference No.</li> <li>3. Add Acknowledgement</li> <li>4. Add Author Contribution individually</li> <li>5. Plant name should be Italic in References</li> </ol>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rishabh Gaur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>