

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_123623
Title of the Manuscript:	Elizabethkingia meningoseptica bacteremia in a newborn at the University Hospital Yalgado Ouedraogo, Burkina Faso: a case report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has focused on a serious nosocomial pathogen which is widely distributed in nature but there is shortage of information about it. As the author showed the study of this rare organisms may raise the awareness among the clinicians to reduce morbidity and mortality especially in neonate and immunocompromised people. It is so important to microbiologists to have facilitates for identification of unusual organisms	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	If he could write a little about the newborn condition Resistant antibiotics	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author could present the case in good form and he could also do the right investigations either for identification or for the diagnosis. Since there no clear data about this organism especially in developing countries, the author shows in his result that the organism can be present as nosocomial pathogen	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	I would suggest this reference to add Kirby JT,, Sader HS, et al. Antimicrobial Susceptibility and Epidemiology of a Worldwide Collection of Chryseobacterium spp.: Report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (1997-2001) <i>J Clin Microbiol.</i> 2004;;42::445—448 Lee CH, Lin WC, Chia JH, Su LH, Chien C, Mao AH, et al. Community- acquired osteomyelitis caused by Chryseobacterium meningosepticum: Case report and literature review. <i>Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.</i> 2008;60:89–93.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The author needs to study the susceptibility of this organisms to other antibiotics like vancomycin And in the introduction he can add This oppotunestic pathogen can also cause meningitis, endocarditis, cellulitis, abdominal infection, wound infection, sinusitis, itis, dialysis associated peritonitis, septic arthritis	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khadija Mohamed Ahmad Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Sebha University, Libya