

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_123509
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review of Robust Forensic Evidence Collection Techniques in IoT Ecosystems
Type of the Article	Just a Review Paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As IoT devices become more prevalent in daily life, the challenges associated with forensic investigations in this context are increasingly relevant. The study's focus on a systematic literature review (SLR) offers a robust and methodical approach to analyzing existing evidence collection methods, making it a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners alike.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Forensic Challenges and Evidence Collection Techniques in IoT Ecosystems: A Systematic Review"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, Include a brief statement outlining the significance of forensic investigations in IoT ecosystems to highlight the relevance of the topic. This will help readers understand why robust forensic evidence collection techniques are necessary in the IoT space. And Mention the challenges IoT poses to forensic investigators, such as the complexity of data collection due to device heterogeneity, limited resources, and jurisdictional issues.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All OK	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound as it addresses key challenges and methodologies in IoT forensics, a growing field due to the proliferation of IoT devices. It follows a systematic literature review (SLR) approach, which ensures a comprehensive and structured assessment of current research in the area. The manuscript thoroughly highlights the unique challenges in IoT ecosystems, such as device heterogeneity, data fragmentation, and privacy concerns, and critically examines existing forensic methods for evidence collection. The research questions are clearly formulated, guiding the investigation toward meaningful conclusions and practical implications, ensuring the relevance and applicability of the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, References are well arranged, no issue on it.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, All OK	
Optional/General comments	No	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajit Kumar
Department, University & Country	B. R. A. Bihar University, India