

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_123624
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Molecular Characterization of Extended-spectrum <math>\beta</math>-Lactamase Producing Escherichia coli from Suspected Cases of Diarrhea in Nasarawa South Senatorial Zone, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Prevalence study of AMR is need of hour particularly from disease condition is very important for the future antibiotic usage	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Covered all the aspect. No need of addition or deletion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Prevalence confirmed by using PCR technique	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor correction needed	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S.Sakthikarthikeyan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>