

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122635
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mycorrhizae: A Rhizolive consortium in organic and natural farming</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p><b>This is a recurring theme</b>  <b>Many articles have been published in this field</b>  <b>There is no new content in this article</b></p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p><b>no</b></p> <p><b>It is suggested to change the title of the article as follows</b></p> <p><b>Mycorrhiza and its effects in agriculture</b></p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p><b>The article needs revision</b>  <b>The abstract is very weak</b></p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p><b>no</b>  <b>It needs to be revised</b></p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p><b>Many sentences do not have a reference</b>  <b>The content is scientific, but there are no references</b>  <b>It needs to be revised</b></p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p><b>It needs to be revised</b></p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>It needs to be revised            New content should be used            The effects of mycorrhizae on heavy metals in the soil should be expressed</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hashem Aram</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shiraz University, Iran</b>