

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122635
Title of the Manuscript:	Mycorrhizae: A Rhizolive consortium in organic and natural farming
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nowadays, many studies have turned to application of biofertilizers in agriculture instead of chemical fertilizers because they are friendly to environment and importance for plant. Therefore, this manuscript is important because it is contain alarge amount of information that every researcher may need.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest the title the important of mycorrhizae fungi for plant	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes , it is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes , it is suitable, but I suggest for the author adding the taxonomy of mycorrhizae in addition to using it in bioremediation of soils contaminated with heavy metals.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I have read the manuscript carefully and noticed that it contains very abundant information in addition to the benefits and uses of mycorrhizae fungi in the present study as biofertilizer due to being environmentally friendly and not expensive instead of chemical fertilizer that are harmful to the environment and thus it is scientifically robust.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is sufficient and recent .	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dina A. Saad
Department, University & Country	University of Diyala, Iraq

Review Form 3