

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_118603
Title of the Manuscript:	Agroforestry: Multifunctional Benefits and Implementation Strategies
Type of the Article	Popular article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This comprehensive review is vital for the scientific community as it informs policymakers, researchers, and practitioners about agroforestry's transformative potential. It underscores the need for collaborative efforts to scale up agroforestry initiatives, making it a key component of sustainable agricultural landscapes</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, but it can be reduced to 200 or 250 words. Abstracts for articles under 250 words are easier to read and appreciated.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>There are sufficient references, but some are outdated. Please use the latest references (2010 onwards). <b>No references were cited in the manuscript. It is crucial to cite the respective authors in the manuscript. So, cite every reference in the script.</b></p> <p><b>Reference</b> no. 21 – Cite that book properly. The correct author is P.K. Ramachandran Nair, not Ramachand. Change the citation to international standard.</p> <p><b>Reference no.</b> 28 – Scientific names should be given in <i>italics</i>.</p> <p>Why are Tables 1 &amp; 2 included when the information they contain has already been covered in the script?</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raguram C</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kerala Agricultural University, India</b>