

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_119535
Title of the Manuscript:	Exergy and Energy Analysis of Forced Convective Thin-Layer Solar Drying of Tiger Nuts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Unfortunately, no, as this paper did not provide any scientific news concerning the drying of this species.</p> <p>2- Yes.</p> <p>3- Unfortunately, no. Normally, the summary should contain a few of the results found.</p> <p>4- Yes.</p> <p>5- More to less.</p> <p>6- No, two-thirds of the references are old.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>1- Please, write scientific names in italic</p> <p>2- Please, add species designation L. or other</p> <p>3- Part 2.1, what is the purpose of diameter determination? And why, you put the result in this part ?</p> <p>4- Part 3.1, why do you present mean values without standard deviation?</p> <p>5- Very poorly interpreted results</p> <p>6- Poorly written conclusion</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ferhat Radhia
Department, University & Country	University of Batna 1, Algeria