

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122735
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE MAIZE - <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i> CULTURAL ASSOCIATION IN THE FIGHT AGAINST THE FALL ARMYWIRE <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present findings may be helpful for the farming community. It is an ecofriendly way to reduce the pest load in crop. For sustainable pest management, less dependence on chemical tactics is an urgent need to protect our crop from different pests.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Alternate title with little modification is already mentioned in main MS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Already suggestion mentioned in MS. Accordingly, it should be revised	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is acceptable	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS is written in a very simple language. Very simple layout is adopted to carry out the experiment. A genuine recent economic problem has tried to address. Efficacy of ecofriendly approach is adopted to add some valuable cultural tool for pest management through IPM	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	It is accepted. But it needs corrections as per format of the Journal.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it can be understood by all scholars	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	MS should be corrected as per detailed comments made in MS. Number of treatments and pest parameters are not sufficient to make it published as full research article.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lakshman Chandra Patel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India</b>