

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMPS_122970</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ASSESSING THE KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE (KAP) OF PMTCT AMONG HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GHANA LEGON.</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an important information which is aimed at ameliorating the level of health care among HIV patients and the exposed babies which would go a long way to curb the transmission of this health threat among the population. Healthy persons are key to economic growth, hence the importance of this manuscript. This manuscript would permit give good information and permit proper sensitizations in all health institutions on health care activities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. But propose this slight adjustment: <b>ASSESSMENT OF THE KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE (KAP) OF PMTCT AMONG HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GHANA LEGON.</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. But the author should include the main and specific objectives, summary method and brief conclusion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has good scientific structuring. The different sections are well written and the tables and figures for illustrations well presented. Also, references are enough and more recent.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author should indicate references within the document but with numbers e.g (Okoroiwu et al., 2020) should be written as [1]...</li> <li>- The titles of tables should be written at top of the table and not below.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ndifontiyong Adamu Ndongho</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Dschang, Cameroon</b>