

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_123298</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ESTHETIC PERCEPTION AND ECONOMIC VALUE OF DIFFERENT REMOVABLE ORTHODONTIC RETAINERS FOR THE UPPER ARCH</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study has compared the esthetic perception and economic value between two maxillary removable retainers, considering gender, age, and socioeconomic status.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The hypothesis of the study is not mentioned.</li> <li>2. The exclusion criteria is not mentioned.</li> <li>3. The setting of the study is not mentioned.</li> <li>4. How was the intra-observer and inter-observer reliability maintained?</li> <li>5. Please mention the distance at which pictures were taken.</li> <li>6. Were the pictures taken at the natural or artificial light?</li> <li>7. The method of sampling is not mentioned.</li> <li>8. Please mention the reason for declining of participation in the study.</li> <li>9. The normality was not performed in the study.</li> <li>10. The source of funding for the study is not mentioned as the Vivera retainer is associated with some company.</li> </ol>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study looks with few corrections as mentioned.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The corrections are required as mentioned in the manuscript attached.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are few grammatical corrections required as mentioned in the manuscript attached	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nabin Kumar Chaudhary</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nepalgunj Medical College, Nepal</b>