

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_123148
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Military Medicine Training on the Perception and Future Performance of Graduates from National Defence University of Malaysia
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a novel study. The esteemed author(s) have lucidly explained the importance of military medicine in the training curriculum.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the methodology, the esteemed author(s) has(have) mentioned that a pilot study was carried out on 10 study participants. However, the esteemed author(s) has(have) not mentioned/specified in the methodology whether the data from the pilot study was also included in the data analysis. Same may kindly be clarified/specified in the methodology. In the methodology the esteemed author(s) has(have) mentioned that the study was conducted among six batches (graduates of year 2016 to year 2020). However, 2016 to 2020 is 5 batches and not six batches. Hence, the esteemed author(s) is(are) requested to kindly clarify this aspect.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are several spelling and grammatical mistakes in the manuscript. Overall the language of the manuscript needs improvement.	
Optional/General comments	Interesting article. Overall language of the manuscript needs improvement. Few points in the methodology need to be clarified as mentioned above.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maninder Pal Singh Pardal
Department, University & Country	India