

## Original Research Article

### EXPLORING THE MODERN FUNGICIDES FOR THE *IN VITRO* MANAGEMENT OF POKKAH BOENG DISEASE OF MAIZE CAUSED BY *Fusariumverticillioides* (SACC.) NIRENBERG

#### ABSTRACT

Pokkahboeng disease caused by *Fusariumverticillioides* is an emerging fungal disease affecting maize recently leading to substantial yield losses. The increasing resistance of pathogens to older fungicides, along with environmental concerns, underscores the need for new management strategies that incorporates next-generation fungicides. In response to this challenge, a comprehensive and systematic survey was conducted in 2022-23 across the Dharwad district of Karnataka. The survey documented disease symptoms such as chlorosis at the base of leaves, malformation and twisting of the foliage. The pathogen was isolated and confirmed as *F. verticillioides* through molecular diagnosis. In the present study, the pathogen was tested for *in vitro* efficacy of modern fungicides at three different concentrations viz., 0.1, 0.15 and 0.2 per cent using poisoned food technique. *In vitro* testing of new generation fungicides revealed that, Tebuconazole 25.9%EC and combinations fungicides viz., Carbendazim 12%+Mancozeb 63% WP and Trifloxystrobin 25% + Tebuconazole 50% WG resulted in 100 per cent inhibition of the mycelial growth of the pathogen. Hexaconazole 5% SC, Azoxystrobin 23% SC, Trifloxystrobin 25% SC, Tricyclazole 18%+Mancozeb 62% WP and Azoxystrobin 18.2%+Difenoconazole 11.4% SC inhibited mycelial growth by 99.15, 85.49, 85.57, 93.08 and 86.87 per cent, respectively. The mycelial growth in fungicide-treated media appeared either fluffy or cottony. Among the fungicides tested, Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% WP, Trifloxystrobin 25% + Tebuconazole 50% WG, and Hexaconazole 5% SC demonstrated 100% efficacy in inhibiting pathogen mycelial growth, even at a low concentration of 0.1%. These results suggest a promising direction for disease management, while the other fungicides tested were found to be less effective.

**Keywords:** PokkahBoeng, Maize, *FusariumVerticillioides*, Fungicides, Management.

#### INTRODUCTION

“Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the world's most prominent cereal crops, originating primarily from Central America and Mexico. Belonging to the Poaceae family, maize is a highly versatile crop, known for its adaptability to diverse agro-ecological and climatic conditions. Globally, maize is often referred to as the "Queen of Cereals" because it can be grown throughout the year due to its photo-thermo insensitive character and has the highest genetic yield potential among all cereals” (Troyer, 2006). “It is highly valued for its multifarious use as food, feed, fodder and raw material for large number of industrial products. Maize with its wide adaptability can be grown with elevation ranging from sea level to up to 3000 m above mean sea level. Maize serves as a staple food in many regions across the globe and ranks as the third most important crop following rice and wheat for India” (Sandhu *et al.*, 2007). Since 2005, India ranks 4<sup>th</sup> in terms of area with 9.89 million ha land under maize. However, India remained among the top 10 producers of maize in the World since 1961 and presently ranks 6<sup>th</sup> with annual output of 31.65 million MT. The productivity of maize in India is approximately 3.19 t/ha, which is just over half of the global average yield of 5.6 t/ha ([https://iimr.icar.gov.in/?page\\_id=51](https://iimr.icar.gov.in/?page_id=51)). “Various diseases, including downy mildews, leaf blights, stalk rots, ear rots and rusts are notable which have an impact on crop productivity” (Payak and Sharma, 1985). “Pokkahboeng is one of the emerging diseases caused by *Fusarium* spp. complex that causes significant economic losses. It was first reported in Andhra Pradesh (India) as *Fusarium luffae*” (Parimeet *et al.*, 2022). “Later, in Karnataka it is reported as *Fusarium verticillioides*” by Harlapure *et al.* (2022). “Current management strategy of Pokkahboeng disease includes spraying of Carbendazim 50% WP (1ml/l), Mancozeb 75% WP (2g/l) and biocontrol practices of applying *Trichoderma harzianum* etc., according to the recent reports made in the management of sugarcane Pokkahboeng disease in which the complete management is not achieved” (Vishwakarma *et al.*, 2013). Considering the limitations in the practical usage of new generation fungicides *i.e.*, Triazoles, Strobilurins and combination fungicides in the management of such pathogens there is an urgent need for developing a new management strategy with new generation fungicides. Accordingly, to the above facts and research gaps, the present study was conducted with the main objective to identify the best treatment in the management of this emerging disease, Pokkahboeng.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Isolation of the pathogen, purification and maintenance of culture: The infected samples collected from different maize growing areas were isolated using the standard procedure for tissue isolation. The isolated cultures were purified by single spore

technique (Dhingra and Sinclair 1993). The purified cultures were maintained on Potato dextrose agar (PDA) slants. The pathogenicity was proved by artificial inoculation of *Fusariumverticillioides* onto the healthy plants at 20 days after sowing.

### Screening of fungicides

The *Fusariumverticillioides* was tested with different commercially available new generation fungicides *i.e.* two triazoles (Tebuconazole and Hexaconazole), two strobilurin (Trifloxystrobin and Azoxystrobin) and three combination fungicides (Carbendazim+Mancozeb, Trifloxystrobin+Tebuconazole, Tricyclozole+Mancozeb and Azoxystrobin+Difenoconazole) by using poisoned food technique (Nene and Thapliyal 1993) at three different concentrations *viz.*, 0.1, 0.15 and 0.2 per cent (Table 1).

**Table 1. Different fungicides used in the *invitro* efficacy**

| Sl. No. | Fungicide                                      |             |
|---------|--|-------------|
|         | Chemical name                                  | Trade Name  |
| 1.      | Azoxystrobin 23 % SC                           | Amistar     |
| 2.      | Tebuconazole 25.9 % EC                         | Folicure    |
| 3.      | Trifloxystrobin 25 % SC                        | Flint       |
| 4.      | Hexaconazole 5 % SC                            | Contaf      |
| 5.      | Carbendazim 12 % + Mancozeb 63 % WP            | Saaf        |
| 6.      | Tricyclazole 18 % + Mancozeb 62 % WP           | Merger      |
| 7.      | Azoxystrobin 18.2 % + Difenoconazole 11.4 % SC | Amistar Top |
| 8.      | Trifloxystrobin 25 % + Tebuconazole 50 % WG    | Nativo      |

*Fusariumverticillioides* was allowed to grow in the sterile petri dishes containing PDA medium for seven days. The PDA medium was prepared and melted. The fungicidal suspension was added to the melted media to obtain the required concentrations. About 20 ml of poisoned medium was poured in each sterilized Petri plates. Suitable check was maintained without addition of fungicides. “The amended molten medium was poured into the sterile Petri dishes and allowed to solidify. This method was repeated for the different concentrations of each treatment. After the solidification of the medium, mycelial discs of 5 mm diameter were cut by using the sterile cork-borer from the seven-day old culture plate. The mycelial discs were taken by flame sterilized inoculation needle and placed on the centre of the solidified PDA medium amended with the fungicide. Three replications for each concentration of different fungicides were maintained. A control plate inoculated with the pathogen alone and without the fungicide was maintained as control. The Petri dishes were

then wrapped and incubated at the room temperature of 28±1°C. The radial growth of the pathogen was recorded when the pathogen in the control plate was fully grown and the percent mycelial inhibition by the fungicide was calculated" [16]. Percent inhibition of the pathogen by the fungicide over the control was calculated by the formula (Vincent, 1947) and the data on the per cent mycelial inhibition of the pathogen was also converted into angular values and analysed statistically using OPSTAT. The mean values were evaluated using Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955) for interpretation of the results.

$$\text{Percent inhibition} = \frac{C-T}{C} \times 100$$

Where C= Radial growth of the pathogen in control plate in cm

T=Radial growth of the pathogen in the treatment plate

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The *in vitro* screening aimed out to find out the most effective fungicide against *Fusarium verticillioides* using poisoned food technique. The experiment tested various fungicides viz., Tebuconazole 25.9 % EC, Hexaconazole 5 % SC, Azoxystrobin 23 % SC, Trifloxystrobin 25 % SC, Tricyclazole 18 % + Mancozeb 62 % WP, Trifloxystrobin 25 % + Tebuconazole 50 % WG, Azoxystrobin 18.2 % + Difenconazole 11.4 % SC and Carbendazim 12% WP + Mancozeb 63% WP. These fungicides were evaluated at three different concentrations of 0.1, 0.15 and 0.2 per cent. The results of the *in vitro* screening revealed that, triazole fungicide Tebuconazole 25.9 % EC as well as the combination fungicides, Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% WP and Trifloxystrobin 25% + Tebuconazole 50% WP were found to be significantly effective with cent per cent mycelial inhibition of the pathogen at all the tested concentration. Hexaconazole 5 % SC was the next effective fungicide (99.15%) followed by Tricyclazole 18 % + Mancozeb 62 % WP which achieved inhibition of 93.08 per cent and both of them showed 100 per cent inhibition at 0.2% (higher) concentration. (Table 2 and Fig. 1 and Plate 1). On the other hand, Azoxystrobin 23% SC was the least effective fungicide with an inhibition of 85.49 per cent. The table indicated that the effectiveness of these fungicides increased with higher concentrations. Among them, Trifloxystrobin 25% SC exhibited the greatest variability in inhibition rates across the different concentrations. This finding aligns with the study by Golakiya *et al.* (2018), which reported that Tebuconazole 25.9% EC exhibited maximum inhibition (89.19%) of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* at all tested concentrations compared to other fungicides. Similarly, Gadhve *et al.* (2020) tested the efficacy of various fungicides *in vitro* against *Fusarium* wilt of tomato and found that Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% WP was the most effective among the combination fungicides, achieving 100 per cent inhibition. Other studies, such as those by Song *et al.* (2004) and Sahoo *et al.* (2023),

also confirmed the efficacy of Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% WP in inhibiting Fusarium wilt of tomato. Additionally, Sahoo *et al.* (2023) demonstrated that Tebuconazole 50% + Trifloxystrobin 25% WG (90.57 %) was also effective in controlling Fusarium wilt, further supporting the findings of this study.

The effectiveness of Tebuconazole and other triazole fungicides observed in this study might be due to their inhibition of ergosterol biosynthesis, a critical component of fungal cell membranes necessary for growth (Agrios, 2004). However, the use of systemic fungicides like these poses a risk of resistance development, as they typically target one or two specific functions within the fungus, making them vulnerable to mutations or selection of resistant individuals. Combination fungicides, which include both systemic and non-systemic components, offer a more robust strategy for long-term management of fungal pathogens. While systemic fungicides disrupt key processes like the electron transport chain and cell membrane integrity, non-systemic protectant fungicides impact multiple aspects of fungal physiology, making it more difficult for the pathogen to develop resistance. This dual action enhances immediate efficacy and helps prevent or delay resistance, making combination fungicides a more sustainable option for disease management.

## **CONCLUSION**

In the present study, among the eight new-generation fungicides tested, Tebuconazole 25.9% EC was found to be the most effective. Among the combination products, Carbendazim 12% WP + Mancozeb 63% WP and Trifloxystrobin 25% + Tebuconazole 50% WP were also highly effective in inhibiting the pathogen, even at lower concentrations. Though, Tebuconazole demonstrated strong efficacy, its use as a standalone treatment poses a risk due to its specific mode of action, which targets particular biochemical pathways within the fungus. This specificity makes the fungicide vulnerable to resistance development, as a single mutation or the selection of resistant individuals within the fungal population could significantly reduce its effectiveness or render it obsolete. In contrast, the use of combination fungicides offers better management of the pathogen. The dual action provided by combination products not only enhances the immediate efficacy of the treatment but also helps in preventing or delaying the development of fungicide resistance within the pathogen population. This approach ensures a more sustainable and effective strategy for disease control.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## REFERENCES

1. Agrios G N, 2004, Plant pathology. (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 236.
2. Dhingra O D and Sinclair J B, 1993, Basic Plant Pathology Methods. CBC Publications and Distributors, New Delhi, pp. 335.
3. Duncan, D. B., 1955, Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, 11(1): 1-42.
4. Gadhave A D, Patil P D, Dawale M B, Suryawanshi A P, Joshi M S and Giri V V, 2020, *In-vitro* Evaluation of different fungicides and bioagents against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 9(8): 3576-3584.
5. Golakiya B B, Bhimani M D and Akbari L F, 2018, Efficacy of different fungicides for the management of chickpea wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(2): 199-205.
6. Harlapur, S I, Iliger, Salakinkop S R, Talekar S C, Kachapur R M, Balol, G, Patil S B and Tippannavar P S, 2022, First report of *Fusarium verticillioides* causing Pokkahboeng disease on maize in India. *Plant Disease*, 107(4): 1239.
7. Nene Y L and Thapliyal P N, 1982, Fungicide in plant diseases control (Ed): Oxford and IBH Publishing Company Private Limited, New Delhi, pp. 325.
8. Parime B C, Penumatsa K V, Injeti S K and Hooda K S, 2022, First report of Pokkahboeng of maize (*Zea mays* L.) caused by *Fusarium luffae* in India. *Indian Phytopathology*, 75(1): 293-295.


9. Payak M M and Sharma R C, 1985, Maize diseases and their approach to their management in India. *Tropical Pest Management*, 31: 302-310.
10. Sahoo B R, Sahu K C, Patel A, Raghu S, Sahoo B R and Gouda S, 2023, *In vitro* evaluation of different fungicides against *Fusariumoxysporum*f. sp. *lycopersici*, causing wilt in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.) *Ecology Environment and Conservation*. 488-491.
11. Sandhu K S, Singh N and Malhi N S, 2007, Some properties of corn grains and their flours I: Physicochemical, functional and chapati-making properties of flours. *Food Chemistry*, 100(3): 938-946.
12. Song W, Zhou L, Yang C, Cao X, Zhang L and Liu X, 2004, Tomato Fusarium wilt and its chemical control strategies in a hydroponic system. *Crop Protection*. 23(3): 243-247.
13. Troyer A F, 20006, Adaptedness and heterosis in corn and mule hybrids. *CropScience*, 46: 528-548.
14. Vincent JM. Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitor. *Nature*, 1947;159:850
15. Vishwakarma S K, Kumar P, Nigam A, Singh A and Kumar A, 2013, Pokkahboeng: an emerging disease of sugarcane. *Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology*, 4(170): 1-5.
16. BommanaDivya , Heera G. , Joy Michal Johnson, Radhika N.S. and Sreekala G.S. Efficacy of New Generation and Combination Fungicides under *in vitro* for the Management of Anthracnose of Nutmeg (*Myristicafragrans* 14(2): 1206-1210(2022

**Table 2. *In vitro* evaluation of fungicides against *Fusariumverticillioides***

| Sl. No. | Fungicide           | Mycelial inhibition over control (%) |                                |                               |                               |
|---------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|         |                     | Concentration (%)                    |                                |                               | Mean                          |
|         |                     | 0.1                                  | 0.15                           | 0.2                           |                               |
| 1       | Azoxystrobin 23% SC | 82.64 <sup>d</sup><br>(65.37) *      | 86.18 <sup>de</sup><br>(68.17) | 87.65 <sup>c</sup><br>(69.42) | 85.49 <sup>d</sup><br>(67.61) |
|         | Hexaconazole 5% SC  | 98.65 <sup>b</sup>                   | 98.82 <sup>b</sup>             | 100.00 <sup>a</sup>           | 99.15 <sup>b</sup>            |


|   |  |                                |                                |                                |                                |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2 |  | (83.32)                        | (83.78)                        | (90.00)                        | (84.70)                        |
| 3 | Trifloxystrobin 25% SC                         | 76.63 <sup>e</sup><br>(61.09)  | 82.42 <sup>e</sup><br>(65.21)  | 97.66 <sup>b</sup><br>(81.21)  | 85.57 <sup>d</sup><br>(67.67)  |
| 4 | Tebuconazole 25.9% EC                          | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) |
| 5 | Carbendazim 12%+<br>Mancozeb63% WP             | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) |
| 6 | Tricyclazole18%+Mancozeb<br>62% WP             | 87.20 <sup>c</sup><br>(69.04)  | 92.03 <sup>cd</sup><br>(73.60) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 93.08 <sup>c</sup><br>(74.75)  |
| 7 | Azoxystrobin 18.2%<br>+Difenoconazole 11.4% SC | 85.75 <sup>cd</sup><br>(67.82) | 87.09 <sup>de</sup><br>(68.95) | 87.76 <sup>c</sup><br>(69.52)  | 86.87 <sup>d</sup><br>(68.76)  |
| 8 | Trifloxystrobin 25 % +<br>Tebuconazole 50 % WG | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) | 100.00 <sup>a</sup><br>(90.00) |
|   | Mean   | 89.56<br>(71.15)               | 93.88<br>(75.68)               | 89.97<br>(71.54)               | -                              |
|   |  |                                | <b>S. Em (±)</b>               |                                | <b>C.D at 1 %</b>              |
|   | Fungicides (F)                                 |                                | 0.08                           |                                | 0.24                           |
|   | Concentration (C)                              |                                | 0.05                           |                                | 0.15                           |
|   | F×C  |                                | 0.14                           |                                | 0.41                           |

\*Values in the parentheses are arc sine transformed



**Plate 1. In vitro evaluation of fungicides against *Fusarium verticillioides***

|  |  |
|--|--|
| 1. Azoxystrobin 23 % SC                          | 2. Hexaconazole 5 % SC                         |
| 3. Trifloxystrobin 25 % SC                       | 4. Tebuconazole 25.9 % EC                      |
| 5. Carbendazim 12 % + Mancozeb 63 % WP           | 6. Tricyclazole 18 % + Mancozeb 62 % WP        |
| 7. Azoxystrobin 18.2 % + Difenconazole 11.4 % SC | 8. Trifloxystrobin 25 % + Tebuconazole 50 % WG |



**Fig. 1. In vitro evaluation of fungicides against *Fusarium verticillioides***