

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123417
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of planting dates and interval of reproductive pruning on yield and yield attributing characters of Yam bean (<i>Pachyrrhizus erosus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yam bean (<i>Pachyrrhizus erosus</i> L.) is an important underground crop which is gaining importance day by day. Therefore scientific interventions and management of cultural practices like planting dates and interval of reproductive pruning affecting yield and yield attributing characters of Yam bean to boost its production is a significant effort. This is a good piece of work as the result would benefit the growers and producers .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title may be modified as: Interaction effect of planting dates and interval of reproductive pruning on yield and yield attributing characters of Yam bean (<i>Pachyrrhizus erosus</i> L.) Reason: Main effect has not been discussed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, Revise as suggested in the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. Abstract contains gist of the work done. Introduction and material and methods are apt and give clear picture of the purpose and procedure. The discussion part is thorough with a valid conclusion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are sufficient and recent. Some more recent one may be added if possible.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is highly suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Satisfactory write-up if title is revised	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sangeeta Shree
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India