

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_123759
Title of the Manuscript:	Simple Geographic Distribution of Patient with Stroke According to their Medical Care Financing System in a Private University Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well-structured to a large extent. The findings of the study are adequately discussed with relevant references made to previous studies. The study also revealed the impact Indonesian government's policy to address health inequities has had on health-seeking behaviour of the general populace. The conclusion of the study also emanates from the findings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methods used were appropriate. The approaches used for data analysis were sound and well-explained. The discussion was well-structured according to the results of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent and sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To a large extent, yes, except that few typographical errors need to be corrected. For instance, under the results and discussion section, the sentence "First lets started with demographic condition of these patient" may rather be written as "First, let's start with demographic conditions of these patients".	
Optional/General comments	Generally, the quality of the manuscript is good. The findings would be useful to the scientific community. The researcher(s) should however read thoroughly through the manuscript to correct few typographical errors in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Philip Lartey
Department, University & Country	Eastern Regional Hospital, Ghana