

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_123384
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of newly nematicide on root-knot nematode, <i>Meloidogyne javanica</i> and establishment of recommended dose in mung bean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Accepted with major correction specially in analysis part and materials and methods are not clear(provided in the manuscript itself)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>IMPACT OF ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) DIMENSIONS ON SUSTAINABLE BANK PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIA</p> <p>Dear Authors, Thank you for your submission. While your article is well-written, I have a few suggestions that could enhance its quality:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strengthen the Introduction: Consider incorporating more recent citations to reinforce your introduction. 2. Justification of the Study: In the introduction, please provide stronger, well-reasoned arguments explaining the significance of your study. Clarifying why this research is important will add to the impact of your work. 3. Expand the Literature Review: Ensure that your literature review is comprehensive by including the latest studies. Supporting your literature with current and relevant citations will strengthen the credibility of your research. 4. Methodological Rigor: I recommend that you provide a more detailed and rigorous methodology section. Clearly explain the methods used and justify your choices to enhance the study's reliability. 5. Expand Results and Discussion: The results and discussion sections would benefit from further elaboration. Expanding on your findings will provide deeper insights into your research. 6. Comparison with Previous Studies: In the discussion, it would be valuable to compare your study's findings with those of previous research. Highlighting whether your results align with or differ from existing studies will offer readers a clearer understanding of your contribution to the field. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veronica Kadam
Department, University & Country	India