

Effect of NPK and growth regulators on growth and development of wheat(*Triticum aestivum* L.)

ABSTRACT

The present exploration entitled “**Effect of NPK and growth regulators on growth and development of wheat(*Triticum aestivum* L.)**” was carried out during *Rabi* season 2020-21 at Instructional farm, BTC College of Agriculture and Research station, Bilaspur (C.G.). The soil of the experimental site was clayed in texture. The wheat (Var. HI-1544) was grown and treatments were replicated three times in randomized block design. The experiment consists of twelve treatments. The crop was sown on 11 November, 2020 and harvesting was done on 24 March 2021. The result that higher grain (45.55 q ha⁻¹) and straw (46.45 q ha⁻¹) yield were observed under the treatment 150% RDF + Two spray of growth regulator at first node (35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS) (T9). The improvement in yield of wheat was recorded with the application of 150% RDF + Two spray of growth regulator at first node (35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS) (T9) which was at par with the application of 150% RDF (T6). Treatment (T9) assigned yield advantage of 21.19% and 21.52% in grain and straw yield respectively as compared to 150% RDF (T6).

Key words- NPK, PGR growth and development on Wheat(*Triticum aestivum* L.)

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the first and most important cereal crop for most people in the world. It is the most important staple food for about two billion people (36 percent of the world) worldwide, wheat provides about 55 percent of carbohydrates and 20 percent of calories consumed worldwide (Graur et al., 1995). 2020-21 wheat production in India at 107.6 million mt. increased by 3.9 percent from last year and at least in the last five years of advertising. Similarly, India's end of wheat stocks are projected at 27.5 million mt by 2020-21, the highest figure in the last five years. (USDA-2021). Wheat is one of the most important and widely grown

food crops with over 25000 different cultivars (Sapone et al. 2012). Wheat is an annual grass that grows to between ½ to 1¼ m in height, with a long stem that ends up in a cluster of dried kernels covered with bearded heads (Smith 2010)Wheat grains contain all the essential nutrients; The kernel contains about 12 percent water, including carbohydrates (60-80 percent mainly as starch), proteins (8-15 percent) and all essential amino acids (except lysine, i Tryptophan and methionine), fats (1.5-2 percent), minerals (1.5-2 percent), vitamins (such as B complex, vitamin E) and 2.2% raw fibers. Wheat is only planted during the Rabbi (winter) season. The major wheat producing regions of India are Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh and Rajasthan (Nachit 1992)

Wheat is the important crop of Chhattisgarh region the state's farming system is heavily reliant on rainfall. Wheat is a major crop farmed on about 44 million hectares of land in the country, with a yield of 2.2 tonnes per hectare, which is lower than that of many other countries.The country's annual population growth rate is roughly 1.8 percent, and if per capita wheat consumption is 400-410g per day, the demand for wheat in 2025 would be 130 million tonnes. Wheat is grown on an average of 3.6 million hectares in Chhattisgarh, with state productivity varying from 1.2 to 1.6 t ha⁻¹ depending on rainfall.The agricultural economy of Chhattisgarh like India depends largely on food grains production (Shrivastava, *et al.*, 2015)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This chapter deals with a concise description of materials used and the techniques adopted during the course of investigation.

Location and Experimental site

The experiment was conducted during *Rabi*, 2020 at ResearchFarm of BTC College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh India. during Rabi season (11 November to 24 March) of 2020-2021, to study “**Effect of NPK and growth regulators on growth and development of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.)**” Bilaspur is located at 22°09’ N latitude and 82°15’ E longitude and located at an altitude of 298 m above the mean sea level. [The region falls under the Eastern plateau and hill region (Ago-climatic zone-7) of India]. Chhattisgarh state is classified into three agro-climatic zones, of which Bilaspur comes under the Chhattisgarh plains zone of the state.

Weather conditions of Experimental site

The experimental site comes under the seventh Agro-climatic zone of the country i.e., Eastern Plateau and Hills and is termed as sub humid with hot summer and cool winter. Average (80 per cent) rainfall at the experimental site is 1503 mm (based on 80 years mean) per annum, most of the rainfall (85 percent) occur from June to September. Temperature varies from 37.9°C in summer and 8.5°C in winter. May and December are the hottest and coolest month respectively.

Physico-chemical characteristics of the soil

In order to evaluate the physico-chemical properties and nutrient status of the soil, ten samples were collected randomly from the experimental plot area at 0-15cm depth with the help of the soil auger and a composite sample was made for mechanical and chemical analysis of the soil. The data on physico-chemical properties of experimental site are presented in Table 2. The soil texture of soil, of experimental field was clay soil (Vertisols) locally known as kanhar. The soil was neutral in reaction. It had medium in organic carbon, low in nitrogen and medium in phosphorus and potassium contents.

Table: 1.Physico-chemical characteristics of the soil (0-15 cm depth)

S. No.	Soil property	Values	Class	Method employed
A. Physical				
(i)	Partical size distribution Composition	International pipette		Method (Piper, 1965)
	a. Sand (%)	24.32	Clay	
	b. Silt (%)	22.72	(Vertisols)	
	c. Clay (%)	51.86		
(ii)	Bulk density, Mg m ⁻³ (0-10cm) (Black, 1965)	1.34	Soil core method	
B. Chemical				
1.	Organic carbon (%)	0.75	Medium	Walkley and black's rapid titration method (Jackson, 1976)
2.	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	275	Low	Alkaline permanganate method (Subbaiah and Asija, 1956)
3.	Available P (kg ha ⁻¹)	13.75	Medium	Olsen's method (Olsen, et al., 1954)
4.	Available K (kg ha ⁻¹)	268	Medium	Flame photometric method (Jackson, 1973)
5.	PH (1:2.5) soil: water	6.9	Neutral	Glass electrode pH meter (Piper, 1965)
6.	EC (dSm ⁻¹ at 25 ⁰ C)	0.21	Low	Solubridge conductivity method (Black, 19

RESULT &DISCUSSION

Data collected from the experimental field for various growth and yield parameters of wheat were analysed statistically and results have been discussed in present chapter.

Pre harvest observation

1. Plant population (m⁻²)

The data on plant population was recorded at 30 DAS and at harvest are presented in table.1 and depicted in fig.1 the treatment failed to cause significant variation in the plant population as recorded at 30 DAS and at harvest stage Application of fertilizer and growth regulators in combination with each other did not cause significant variation in plant stand establishment of wheat during both the period of observations. However among the treatment plots, highest plant population of 139.39 and 136.74 at 30 DAS and at harvest stage respectively was recorded with

the application 150% RDF + growth regulators (T6) followed by application of 150% RDF (T6) and the minimum number of plant population per square meter (viz., 109.34 and 96.54 at 30DAS as well as harvest time) was recorded in Absolute control (T1).

Table:2.Effect of NPK and growth regulators on plant population at 30 DAS and at harvest

Treatments		Plant population, m ⁻²	
		30 DAS	At harvest
T1	Absolute control	109.34	96.54
T2	50% RDF NPK	111.49	108.16
T3	75% RDF NPK	115.18	115.42
T4	100% RDF NPK	125.78	123.78
T5	125% RDF NPK	129.39	125.51
T6	150% RDF NPK	137.43	130.67
T7	100% RDF NPK + Two spray of growth GR's at first node (35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	128.67	125.67
T8	125% RDF NPK + Two spray of growth GR's at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage(60 DAS)	130.31	126.51
T9	150% RDF NPK + Two spray of GR's at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	139.39	136.74
	SEm (±)	0.99	1.22
	CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS




Fig. 1. Effect of NPK and growth regulators on plant population (m⁻²) at 30 DAS and at harvest

2. Plant height (cm)

The plant height is an important growth characteristic directly linked with the production potential of plants in terms of biological and grain yield. The data on plant height was recorded periodically at 30, 60, 90 DAS and finally at harvest as depicted in table 3 and fig 2. The plant height in general, under different nutrient management was minimum at 30 DAS, but increased correspondingly during 60 DAS and thereafter increase in plant height was observed steadily up to 90 DAS, but at harvest there was minimal decline in plant height perpetually in all the treatments. It is evident from the data that the growth of wheat in terms of plant height varied significantly due to application of NPK and growth regulators during all stages of crop growth except at 30 DAS.

Among the treatments, the maximum plant height was recorded with the application of 150% RDF which was at par with the application of T7, T9, T8, T5, and it was significantly superior over the other treatments at all stages of observation. The height of plants was recorded least with the application of Absolute control (T1),

At 30 DAS, significant height plant (32.87 cm) was recorded under the treatment (T6) where 150% RDF was applied through urea, SSP and MOP as compared to T5, T4, T3, T2, T1. However, treatments T7, T9, T8, were found at par

with the treatment (T6) at 30 DAS. At 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest also, 150% RDF (T6) significantly increased the plant height over Absolute control (T1) plant height at 60 DAS 90 DAS and at harvest exhibited almost similar trend as observed at 30 DAS.

Shekoofaet *al.*, (2008) Application of Nitrogen levels (0, 100 and 200 kg ha⁻¹) were the main plots. The N was applied as Urea (46percent N), half at the time of stem elongation and the other half at onset of flowering. The PGR treatments included CCC at 2.20 kg ha⁻¹ applied at Zadoks growth stage (ZGS) 25, ethephon at 0.28 kg ha⁻¹ at Zadoks growth stage (ZGS) 39, and controls (without any PGR) were assigned the results showed that both PGR treatments reduced the plant height

Table: 3. Effect of NPK and growth regulators on plant height (cm)

	Treatment	Plant height (cm)			
		30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T1	Absolute control	26.37	65.04	80.62	85.17
T2	50% RDF NPK	28.23	69.99	85.11	87.60
T3	75% RDF NPK	29.06	70.40	88.61	90.43
T4	100% RDF NPK	30.63	72.48	93.91	95.09
T5	125% RDF NPK	31.17	73.12	97.54	99.27
T6	150% RDF NPK	32.87	79.27	99.60	101.53
T7	100% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node (35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	28.27	70.46	87.07	91.21
T8	125% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage(60 DAS)	29.27	72.41	88.21	94.89
T9	150% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	31.40	75.29	89.86	97.22
	SE (m±)	0.50	0.76	0.92	1.65
	CD (P=0.05)	1.51	2.28	2.78	4.96




Fig: 2.Effect of NPK and growth regulators on plant height (cm) wheat at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest

3. Number of active leaves plant⁻¹

The data on number of leaves hill⁻¹ recorded at 30, 60 and 90 day after sowing are recorded in table. Significant differences in number of green leaves hill⁻¹ were recorded among the treatment (Table 4 and fig 3)The number of green leaves hill⁻¹ progressively increased up to 60 DAS. The results showed that the application of recommended doses of fertilizer significantly higher number of green leaves hill⁻¹ at 30 and 60 DAS. (T9) at 30 DAS and (T9) at 60 DAS produced the lowest number of green leaf hill⁻¹ (T1) was best treatment after (T9) with respect to green leaves hill⁻¹ at 30 and 60 DAS.As regards the effect of combination NPK and growth regulators, it is evident that application of fertilizers and growth regulators accelerated the number of green leaves hill⁻¹ significantly compared to other treatment at all the growth stage of crop. Application of 150% RDF + growth regulators resulted in significantly the maximum number of leaves viz. 12.53 and 27.78 hill⁻¹ during 30 and 60 DAS compared to those observed in rest of the treatment combination. Such response can be attributed to the adequate nitrogen availability which might facilitate growth and development of the plants, resulting in a greater number of leaf productions. The minimum number of green leaves was recorded in treatment the minimum number of green leaves was recorded in treatment (T1) in which Absolute control treatment

Table: 4.Effect of NPK and growth regulators on Number of active leaves in wheat.

	Treatment	Number of active leaves, plant ⁻¹	
		30 DAS	60 DAS
T1	Absolute control	6.66	18.60

T2	50% RDF NPK	8.53	20.94
T3	75% RDF NPK	8.99	22.91
T4	100% RDF NPK	9.45	24.58
T5	125% RDF NPK	10.38	26.50
T6	150% RDF NPK	12.31	27.34
T7	100% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node (35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	9.62	25.19
T8	125% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage(60 DAS)	11.33	26.67
T9	150% RDF NPK +Two spray of Growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	12.53	27.78
	SE (m±)	0.62	0.66
	CD (0=05)	1.86	1.98




Fig: 3. Effect of NPK and growth regulators on Number of active leaves plant⁻¹ of wheat at 30, 60 DAS.

4. Number of tillers (m²)

Data on number of tillers of wheat were recorded at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest (Table 5.) and depicted in figure 4. The effect of NPK and growth regulators were significantly affected the number of tillers at all the growth stages of crop. Irrespective of the treatments, number of tillers increased up to 90 DAS and slightly declined at harvest. At 30 DAS, among the application 150% RDF + growth regulators (T9) produced the significantly higher number of tillers as compared to other treatments and result was recorded at 60 DAS under 150% RDF and growth

regulators.(T9). At 90 DAS and at harvest, application of 150% RDF + growth regulators (T9) produced the higher number of tillers, which was at par with the 150% RDF (T6) and was significantly superior over the other treatments. Treatment 150% RDF + growth regulators (T9) had higher dose of nutrient and growth regulators i.e. 25% higher which might help to form tiller, plants need energy and abundance of water and nutrient which will positively affect the yield.

Table: 5 Effect of NPK and growth regulators on number of tillers m⁻²

Treatment		Numbers of tillers, m ⁻²			
		30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T1	Absolute control	120.23	159.37	285.45	289.92
T2	50% RDF (NPK)	138.33	264.03	300.41	304.81
T3	75% RDF (NPK)	168.96	285.94	315.53	316.07
T4	100% RDF(NPK)	190.96	309.49	350.25	354.10
T5	125% RDF (NPK)	195.11	340.26	390.15	395.91
T6	150% RDF (NPK)	199.27	345.80	404.64	406.37
T7	100% RDF (NPK) +Two spray of GR's at first node (35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	191.30	310.85	350.78	359.68
T8	125% RDF NPK +Two spray of GR's at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage(60 DAS)	197.63	341.38	395.08	402.92
T9	150% RDF NPK + Two spray of GR's at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	202.84	348.57	405.22	408.67

SEm(±)	4.06	4.05	2.49	2.40
CD (P=0.05)	12.04	12.12	7.47	7.21




Fig: 4. Effect of NPK and growth regulators on number of tillers (m^{-2}) of wheat at 30, 60, 90DAS and at harvest

5. Dry matter accumulation ($g\ plant^{-1}$)

From the table, it could be seen that at 30 DAS dry matter accumulation did not reach to level of significance. However in 60, 90 DAS and at harvest significant results were found. The highest dry matter was accumulated (viz., 0.44, 11.26, 21.48 and 49.15 in treatment receiving 150% RDF + growth regulators (T9), being significantly superior over other treatments. The minimum amount of dry matter was accumulated by plants treated with Absolute control (T1).

Table : 6 Effect of NPK and growth regulators on dry matter Accumulation ($g\ plant^{-1}$)					
Treatment		Dry matter accumulation ($g\ plant^{-1}$)			
		30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At Harvest
T1	Absolute control	0.20	5.77	10.67	29.33
T2	50% RDF NPK	0.29	6.83	13.01	35.15
T3	75% RDF NPK	0.30	7.57	14.33	39.59
T4	100% RDF NPK	0.37	8.87	15.17	43.37
T5	125% RDF NPK	0.39	10.13	16.49	45.88
T6	150% RDF NPK	0.41	11.08	20.56	48.16
T7	100% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node (35	0.38	9.52	17.12	44.82

	DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)				
T8	125% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage(60 DAS)	0.40	10.23	18.17	46.73
T9	150% RDF NPK +Two spray of Growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	0.44	11.26	21.48	49.15
SEm (±)		0.016	0.016	0.89	1.14
CD (P=0.05)		0.05	0.04	2.67	3.42




Fig: 5.Effect ofNPK and growth regulators on dry matter accumulation (g plant⁻¹) at 30,60,90 DAS and at harvest.

6. Crop growth rate(g day⁻¹ plant⁻¹)

Crop growth rate of wheat was calculated between 30-60 and 60-90 DAS and 90 DAS – harvest intervals (Table 7 and depicted in Figure 6). The higher crop growth was recorded at 30-60 DAS interval, there after growth rate almost declined till maturity. Highest crop growth rate was observed under 150% RDF NPK + growth regulators (T9) at 30-60, 60-90 and 90 DAS - harvest. Lower crop growth rate was observed under **absolute control treatment (T1)**

Treatment		Crop growth rate (g plant ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)		
		30 – 60 (DAS)	60 – 90 (DAS)	90 (DAS) – At harvest
T1	Absolute control	0.134	0.389	0.504
T2	50% RDF NPK	0.199	0.400	0.510
T3	75% RDF NPK	0.204	0.421	0.521
T4	100% RDF NPK	0.224	0.445	0.525
T5	125% RDF NPK	0.260	0.469	0.554
T6	150% RDF NPK	0.287	0.488	0.578

T7	100% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node (35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	0.231	0.453	0.535
T8	125% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage(60 DAS)	0.272	0.479	0.562
T9	150% RDF NPK +Two spray of Growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	0.297	0.499	0.584
Mean		0.234	0.449	0.541




Fig: 6. Effect ofNPK and growth regulators on crop growth rate(g plant⁻¹ day⁻¹) (g plant⁻¹) at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest

7. Relative growth rate (g g⁻¹ day⁻¹)

Relative Growth Rate (g plant⁻¹) of wheat effect ofNPK and Growth regulators treatment are presented in Table 8. depicted in Figure 7. revealed that higher relative growth rate was recorded by150% RDF NPK +Growth regulators (T9) and lower relative growth rate was observed Absolute control (T1) treatment at 30-60 DAS. At 60-90 DAS treatment 150% RDF NPK(T6) recorded the higher relative growth rate and (T1)recorded the lower relative growth rate. At 90 DAS – at harvest treatment 150% RDF + growth regulators (T9) had the higher relative growth rate and lowest relative growth rate was observed under absolute control treatment (T1).

Table : 8. Effect of NPK and growth regulators on Relative growth rate

	Relative growth rate (g g⁻¹ day⁻¹)
--	---

Treatment		30 – 60	60 – 90	90(DAS) – At harvest
		(DAS)	(DAS)	
T1	Absolute control	0.014	0.040	0.0029
T2	50% RDF NPK	0.017	0.050	0.0031
T3	75% RDF NPK	0.019	0.054	0.0034
T4	100% RDF NPK	0.020	0.058	0.0035
T5	125% RDF NPK	0.022	0.055	0.0035
T6	150% RDF NPK	0.024	0.067	0.0037
T7	100% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node (35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	0.021	0.061	0.0036
T8	125% RDF NPK +Two spray of growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage(60 DAS)	0.023	0.066	0.0036
T9	150% RDF NPK +Two spray of Growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	0.025	0.068	0.0038
mean		0.0205	0.0581	0.00345




Fig: 7. Effect of NPK and growth regulators on Relative growth rate ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$)wheat at 30, 60, 90 days after sowing and at harvest

Post harvest observation

1. Grain yield (q ha⁻¹)

Data on grain yield of wheat which were recorded at harvest (Table 9) and depicted in figure.8. The effect of NPK and regulators were significantly affected by the grain yield at harvest of crop. At harvest, among The application 150% RDF + growth regulators (T9) (45.55) had the higher grain yield which was at par with 150% RDF (T6) (43.65) and the lowest grain yield was obtained under absolute control (T1) (19.10).

The grain yield was higher under 150% RDF + growth regulators was also less which results in higher plant population which followed with higher number of tiller and thus results in higher grain yield. These results were confirmed with the findings of the Tripathi and Chauhan (2000), Nayak and Nadagouda (2015) and Kumar *et al.*, (2016)

2. Straw yield (q ha⁻¹)

Data on straw yield of wheat which were recorded at harvest (Table 9) and depicted in figure.8. The effect of NPK and growth regulators were significantly affected by the straw yield at harvest of crop. At harvest, among the application 150% RDF+ growth regulators (T9) had the higher straw yield which was significantly superior over the other treatments and the lower straw yield was observed under absolute control (T1).

The higher straw yield it might be due to the treatment got 150% higher dose of RDF and growth regulators, results in higher plant population, which followed the steps for more tiller which followed more grain yield and thus resulted in higher grain yield. These results were confirmed by the findings of the Sharma *et al.*, (2013) and Singh *et al.*, (2016).

3. Biological yield(q ha⁻¹)

Data on biological yield of wheat which were recorded at harvest (Table 9) and depicted in figure.8 the effect of combination NPK and growth regulators were significantly affected by the biological yield at harvest of crop. At harvest, among the application 150% RDF + growth regulators (T9) had the higher straw yield which was significantly superior over the other treatment and the lover biological yield was observe under absolute control (T1).

4. Harvest index (%)

Data on harvest index of wheat which were recorded at harvest (Table 9) and depicted in figure 8. 150% RDF+ growth regulators (T9) (49.51) had the higher harvest index and absolute control (T1) (38.10) had the lower harvest index.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table: 9. Effect of NPK and growth regulators on grain yield (q ha^{-1}), straw yield (q ha^{-1}), biological yield (q ha^{-1}) and harvest Index (%)

Treatment	Grain yield (q ha^{-1})	Straw yield (q ha^{-1})	Biological yield (q ha^{-1})	Harvest Index (%)
-----------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---	-------------------

T1	Absolute control	19.10	31.02	50.12	38.10
T2	50% RDF NPK	32.22	43.59	75.81	42.50
T3	75% RDF NPK	33.22	44.52	78.50	42.58
T4	100% RDF NPK	38.06	41.66	79.72	47.74
T5	125% RDF NPK	41.22	47.98	85.90	47.98
T6	150% RDF NPK	43.65	46.35	90.00	48.50
T7	100% RDF NPK + Two spray of growth regulator at first node (35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	38.23	43.72	81.95	46.65
T8	125% RDF NPK + Two spray of growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage(60 DAS)	42.00	46.18	87.00	48.27
T9	150% RDF NPK + Two spray of Growth regulator at first node(35 DAS) & boot leaf stage (60 DAS)	45.55	46.45	92	49.51
	SEm(±)	0.62	0.57	0.66	-
	CD (P=0.05)	1.86	1.71	1.98	-




Fig: 8.Effect of NPK and growth regulators on grain yield ($q\ ha^{-1}$), straw yield ($q\ ha^{-1}$), biological yield ($q\ ha^{-1}$) and harvest Index (%)

Conclusion

Growth parameters yield and attributes and economics varied considerably in response to application of nutrient and growth regulators. The results of the present conclusion drawn may be accomplished under, The results showed that growth parameters viz., plant population, , number of green leaves hill⁻¹, number of tillers m²

and yield attributes viz., number of tillers m^2 number of grain ear⁻¹ head, weight of grain ear⁻¹, test weight as well as grain yield, straw yield and harvest index was found to be significantly higher under 150% RDF+ growth regulators (T9) closely followed by 150% RDF (T6) noticed significantly inferior values for most of the aforesaid parameters.

Application of 150% RDF + growth regulators (T9) illustrated significantly higher grain (45.55 q ha⁻¹) and straw (46.45 q ha⁻¹) yield of wheat closely followed by the use of 150 % RDF (T6) treatment (T6) assigned yield advantage of over (43.65 q ha⁻¹) and (46.35 q ha⁻¹) in grain and straw yield respectively as compared to Absolute control treatment (T1).The result of the present study came to the conclusion that the application of 150% RDF+ growth regulators through fertilizer is essential to get higher production output and profit from wheat cultivation and reduce the risk lodging.

Suggestion for future research work

In the light of research trial gained during the course of investigation and results obtained, it is felt that the following points should be given due consideration in further studies.

- The experiment should be repeated for one or more year to draw to concrete conclusion for the recommendation to the farmers.
- Future work should be done at more effective combinations for yield maximization of wheat.

References –

- Aditya, S., Sushant, S and Singh. M. 2020. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and plant growth regulators on lodging. and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) *International Journal of current microbiology and applied sciences*, 9(12): 2319-7706.

- Vitaliy, I., Andreev, N., and Bogapova, M., 2020. The influence of various growth regulators on the yield and grain quality of the spring wheat. *bio web conferences*, 17: 106.
- Borse, D. K. Usadadia, V. P. and Thorave, D. S. 2019 Nutrient management in wheat (*triticum aestivum.*) under partially reclaimed coastal salt affected soil of south Gujarat. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 8(5): 1590-1599
- Gupta, J. P., Kumar, R., and Kumar, V., 2019, Effect of nitrogen management and plant growth regulators on yield and yield attributes of wheat. *International Journal of chemical studies*, 6: 272-27.
- Kumar, S., Yadav, R.. M., Garg, N. 2019. Impact of nutrient management practices and plant growth regulators on productivity and profitability of wheat. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 89(4): 604-9.
- Singh, S., Singh, T., Singh, A. K., and Singh, R. K. 2019. Effect of nitrogen levels and plant growth regulators on growth, lodging, yield and economics of wheat. *Journal of pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 8(4): 665-671.
- Singh, S., Yadav, R., Verna, G., Kumar, A., Singh, A. 2019. Effect of nutrient management and growth regulators on yield attributes and yield of wheat. *Ann. Agri. Res. New Series.* 40 (1): 1-4.
- Belete, F. Dechassa, N., Molla, A. and Tana, T., 2018, Effect of split application of different N rates on productivity and nitrogen use efficiency of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) *Agric & Food*, 7(92):2-10.
- Hariyadi, B. D., Nizak, F., Nurmalasari, I. R., Kogoya, Y. 2018. Effect of dose and time of NPK fertilizer application on the growth and Yield. *Journal of Agricultural Science And Agriculture.* 2598-5167.
- Kesarwani, A., Singh, V. P., Kumar, R., Pandey, D.S., 2018, Improving the lodging losses in wheat crop using growth regulators. *Crop Research* 26 (1): 75 – 78.
- Kesarwani, A., Singh, V. P., Kumar, R., Pandey, D. P. 2018. Improving the lodging losses in wheat crop using growth regulators. *National agronomy congress 2018.*
- Szymanska, M. M., Borowik, M., Wyzinska, M., Rusek. P. 2018. effects of different fertilizer treatments on grain yield and yield components of spring wheat. *Research for rural development.* 2: 100-106.

- Hariram, 2017, Nitrogen management of wheat cultivars for higher productivity-A review. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 9(1): 133 -143.
- Baranyiova, Klem, K. 2016. Effect of application of growth regulators on the physiological and yield parameters of winter wheat under water deficit. *Plant Soil Environ.* Vol. 62(3): 114–120.
- Laghari, A. H., 2016, Effect of NPK and Boron on growth and yield of wheat variety TJ-83.
- Mohammed, M., Ati. A. S., Hassan, A. K., 2016. Effect of water stress and NPK fertilization on growth, yield of wheat and water use efficiency. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 9(1): 21-26.
- Pirasteh, H., Emam, Y., Khaliq, A. 2016. Response of cereals to cycocel application. *Iran Agricultural Research*, 35 (1):1-12.
- Samimi, A. S., and Thomas, T., 2016, Effects of different levels of NPK on yield by wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*, 3(5): 224-227.
- Samimi, A.S. and Thomas, T. 2016. Effects of different levels of NPK on yield by wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*. 3: 224-227.
- Shashi Kumar, H. M. Shashi Kumar And Shashidhar, T. R., 2016. Effect of foliar application of growth regulators and bio stimulants on growth and yield of onion Var. Bhima Shakti. *Journal of Farm Science*. 29(1): 50-52.
- Marimuthu, S., Suendran, U. 2015. Effect of nutrients and plant growth regulators on growth and yield of black gram in sandy loam soils of Cauvery new delta zone. *India Cogent Food & Agriculture*. 1: 101-415.
- Mojid, M. A., Wyseure, G. L., Biswas, S.K. 2012 Requirement of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers for wheat cultivation under irrigation by municipal wastewater. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 12 (4): 655-665.
- Sudar, R., Kovacevic, V., Kadar, I., Rastija, M. 2012. Impacts of NPK fertilization on chemical composition of wheat grain. *48th croatian & 8th international symposium on agriculture Dubrovnik. Croatia*, 510-514.

- Rafael, J., Bellido, L., Rattan, L., Tom, K., John, R. 2010. Plant growth regulator and nitrogen fertilizer effects on soil organic carbon sequestration in creeping bent grass fairway turf *Plant and Soil*. 332: 247–255.
- Smith, S. E. 2010, What is wheat. *Journal of Agriculture Research*. 45(8): 127-128.
- Shekoofa, S. A. and Emam, Y. 2008. Effects of nitrogen fertilization and plant growth regulators (PGRs) on yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal Agric. Sci. Technol*. 10: 101-108 101.
- Sultana, N., Elahi, S. F., White, S. K., Hossain, M. D. 2005. The efficiency of nitrogen fertilizer for rice in Bangladeshi farmers field. *Field crops Research*. 93(1): 94-107.
- Graur, D., 1995, Wheat evolution. *israel Journal of plant Sciences*, 43(2): 85-98.
- Nachit, M. M., Nachit, G., Zobel, R. W., 1992, Use of AMMI and linear regression models to analyze genotype-environment interaction in durum wheat. *Theoretical and applied genetics*, 83: 597-601.
- Shekoofa, A., and Emam, Y. 200.. Effects of nitrogen fertilization and plant growth regulators (PGRs) on yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cv. Shiraz. *J. Agric. Sci. Technol*, 10: 101-108.
- Aestivum, L., Singh, S., Yadav, R., Verm, G., Kumar, A., and Singh, A. 2019. Effect of nutrient management and growth regulators on yield attributes and yield of wheat. *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series. Vol. 40 (1): 1-4.*
- Ghulam, A., Khan, M. Q., Muhammad, J., Muhammad, T. And Hussain, F., 2014. Nutrient uptake, growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) as affected by zinc application rates. *Int. J. Agric. Biology*. 11(4):394-396.
- Gulser, C., Zherlygasov, Z., Kizilkaya, R., Izzet, A., Zhaksylyk, Z. 2019. The effect of NPK foliar fertilization yield and macronutrient content of grain in wheat under kostanai-Kazakhstan conditions. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*. 8 (3): 275 – 281.
- Klikocka, H., Marks, M., Barczak, B., Szostak, B., Podlesna, A., Podlesny, J. 2018. Response of spring wheat to NPK and S fertilization. The content and uptake of macronutrients and the value of ionic ratios open. *Ch. Journal*. (16):1059-1065.
- Leghari, A.H., Laghari, G.M., Ansari, M.A., Mirjat, M.A., Laghari, U.A., Leghari, S.J. Laghari, A.H. Abbasi, Z.A. 2016. Effect of NPK and boron on growth

and yield of Wheat Variety TJ-83. *Soil, Advances in Environmental Biology*, 10(10): 209-216.

Malghani, A. L, Malik, U. A., Sattarb, A., Hussaina, F., Abbasc, G., and Hussaind, J. 2010 response of growth and yield of wheat to NPK fertilizer. *Sci. Int.(Lahore)*. 24(2): 185-189.

Sharma, K.P., Mishra, P.K., Singh, A. P., Verma, R and Raha, P., 2014, Impact of integrated nutrient management on growth yield and nutrient uptake by wheat. *Asian Journal Agriculture Research*. 5(1): 76-82.

Shrivastava, A., Mahajan, S., Choudhary, K. K., Dhakre, D. S., and Saxena, R. R. 2015. Instability in wheat production in Chhattisgarh. *International Journal. Bio-res. Env. Agril. Science*. 1(1): 85-91.

UNDER PEER REVIEW