

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_122976
Title of the Manuscript:	Protected cultivation of Horticultural crops: A comprehensive review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its provide the deep knowledge about the cultivtion of horticultural crops in Protected Structures.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please write the abstract in concise manner with upto maximun 250 words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs to make some improvement.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	May include some fruits crops also which are sutable for protected cultivation. Keywords should be in chronological arrangement. Abbreviated form also be used with the short form like in BC. Year and source of the data should be mentioned. In the section of significant pests, word arthropod not represents for fungi and other microbes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not arrange in chronological form. References are suffiecient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinod Fogawat
Department, University & Country	CSIR- Indian Institute of Integrative Medicine, India