

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_123051
Title of the Manuscript:	Anatomical snuffbox lipomas: Particularities and Surgical management
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article discusses the clinical aspects and the surgical therapeutic approach of anatomical snuffbox lipomas based on two clinical cases. These rare pathologies deserve a meticulous approach because of the anatomical vascular-nervous relationships. This work is interesting and deserves to be published.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work describes the local anatomy of the anatomical snuffbox and its vascular-nervous relationships. The author describes the anatomical aspects of lipomas of the anatomical snuffbox and its clinical manifestations. Radiological images (ultrasound or MRI) must however be attached with the work. The description of the histological aspects must be reported.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references is too many. Most of them are recent. Reference number 7 deserves a good write-up, especially regarding the authors' names and surnames.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	This work deserves to be published after checking for minor revision.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajaonarison Ny Ony Narindra Lova Hasina
Department, University & Country	Antananarivo University, Madagascar