

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_123051
Title of the Manuscript:	Anatomical snuffbox lipomas: Particularities and Surgical management
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Importance of Manuscript: It is providing knowledge about diagnosis of the lesion. It is providing guideline for surgical approach/treatment protocol. It provides knowledge about particularities during surgical approach as lesion is near critical area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggestion: Anatomical snuffbox lipomas - Diagnosis, Particularities and Surgical management: A Case Report	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Images of diagnosis part viz. Histopathological evaluation, ultrasound and MRI, with caption should be added in the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As such manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. Suggestions for scientific correctness of this manuscript: - Aims & Objectives of the study should be added in the manuscript. - Materials and Methodology should be mentioned in separate sections.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. The Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound to publish with few minor changes suggested.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohsin Ghanchi
Department, University & Country	College of Dental Science and Hospital, India