

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_123006
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteriological Quality Determination of Borehole Water supply in Hostels of Rivers State University Main Campus, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study aimed to evaluate the bacteriological quality of borehole water in Rivers State University hostels, Port Harcourt. The findings will offer insights into the current status and associated bacteriological risks of the water sources available to students in the university's hostels. Water can transmit diseases to humans and animals and is linked to various health issues. These findings highlight the need for regular monitoring and treatment to ensure safe water in the hostels.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable for the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract clearly explains the manuscript content and some grammar mistakes, spacing, and spelling mistakes in the content and “%” missing in <i>Salmonella</i> spp (16.6)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and effectively support the flow of the content.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study employs a well-structured experimental design, with clear and systematic data collection and analysis procedures to ensure that the research is both reliable and relevant to the field. This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its rigorous adherence to research methodology and data analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references should be evaluated to ensure they are both sufficient and current. It is important to have a comprehensive and up-to-date reference list to support the research effectively. Additional references may also be necessary.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. The article is well-structured and readable, making it accessible to its intended academic audience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript contains some mistakes, which are listed as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please transform your introduction from 7 short paragraphs into 4 comprehensive paragraphs. Combine the grouped ideas into 4 cohesive paragraphs. Ensure each paragraph transitions smoothly to the next and maintains a logical flow. Add additional content where needed to provide a more thorough introduction. This could involve providing more background information, elaborating on the significance of the topic, or setting up the research problem more clearly. 2. Add units for site longitude and latitude in the materials and method section. 3. You should include the NCBI accession number for the identified bacteria, a phylogenetic tree, and additional identification details. 4. In the References section, the references are currently in different formats. Please ensure that all references follow the journal's specified reference style. The journal format is "Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14." 5. Remove bold formatting from "Keywords" and change it to italics. 6. The headings "INTRODUCTION", "MATERIALS AND METHODS", "CONCLUSION", and "REFERENCES" should be in capital letters. 7. In the Results section, the "P" in "P > 0.05" should be in italics. 8. After the table number, a period ('.') should be added. 9. Correct the grammar mistakes, and spacing throughout the manuscript content. 10. Transform Table 2 from an image into an edited version. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Ephsy K. Davis</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Kongunadu Arts and Science College, India</p>