

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJL2C_123273 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Persuasive Language and Critical Literacy as Means of Ensuring Students' Ethical Use of Artificial Intelligence |
| Type of the Article | Review article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>The study examines the role of persuasive language and critical literacy in ensuring students' ethical use of artificial intelligence (AI) in educational settings. By employing an analytic descriptive design and qualitative methods, it is found that teachers can use persuasive language to raise students' awareness about ethical AI use, thereby reducing misuse for academic malpractices. Critical literacy is also emphasized as a means to make students conscious of the implications of AI misuse. The study concludes that these language-based techniques can significantly mitigate unethical AI practices in education, calling for stakeholder efforts and policy measures to further this goal.</p> | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | <p>It is fairly descriptive but could be made more concise and impactful. Here are some alternative title suggestions that might better capture the essence of the study: "Promoting Ethical AI Use in Education Through Persuasive Language and Critical Literacy" "Leveraging Persuasive Language and Critical Literacy to Foster Ethical AI Practices Among Students" "Empowering Ethical AI Use in Academia: The Role of Persuasive Language and Critical Literacy"</p> | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>The abstract is comprehensive but could be refined for clarity and focus. Here are some suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarity and Focus: Simplify the language to avoid wordiness and directly highlight the study's significance. 2. Research Methodology: Specify the nature of the secondary data and observation methods. Briefly mention the analytical and descriptive design. 3. Results and Findings: Provide a clearer summary of the evidence that supports the conclusion about students reducing AI misuse. 4. Implications: Specify the broader implications for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders. 5. Keywords: Revise to include terms like "pedagogy," "AI ethics," and "educational technology" for better indexing. | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | <p>The structure of your manuscript seems well-organized and covers the essential components for a scholarly paper</p> | |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>The manuscript titled "Persuasive Language and Critical Literacy as Means of Ensuring Students' Ethical Use of Artificial Intelligence" is scientifically robust and technically sound due to several key factors. Firstly, the manuscript employs a systematic review methodology, rigorously analyzing a range of scholarly materials to underpin its arguments, thereby ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the research area. Secondly, it integrates persuasive language and critical literacy into the discussion, addressing ethical concerns related to AI usage with innovative, language-based techniques. This approach is well-grounded in current literature and builds upon existing research to propose actionable solutions. Lastly, the study's focus on practical implications for educators and policymakers highlights its relevance and potential impact, contributing valuable insights into the ethical use of AI in education.</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | <p>The references are generally sufficient, but there are a few points to consider for improving both the relevance and recency of your references. Ensure that all references are up-to-date to reflect the most current research and discussions in the field.</p> | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language and English quality of your article is generally strong and suitable for scholarly communication | |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript presents a timely and relevant study on the ethical use of AI in education, exploring how persuasive language and critical literacy can mitigate unethical practices. The research addresses a significant gap and has potential implications for both educational practice and policy. | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | R. Naganandini |
| Department, University & Country | Vinayaka Mission's Annapoorana College of Nursing, India |