

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_123610
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPORT DYNAMICS AND PERFORMANCE OF TREE SEEDS IN TANZANIA: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF TANZANIA FOREST SERVICES AGENCY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study entitled "Export Dynamics and Performance of Tree Seeds in Tanzania: An Analytical Study of Tanzania Forest Services Agency" is essential for understanding the role of tree seed exports in Tanzania's economy. Analyzing the dynamics of this trade, including market trends, exports & global demand offers valuable insights into how the country can maximize its export potential. The study highlights the performance of Tanzania Forest Services Agency (TFS) in managing seed exports, product quality, logistics and accessibility. Additionally, it can offer policy guidance to enhance the efficiency, improve export strategies, market access and competitiveness of Tanzania's tree seed sector in the global marketplace, supporting both economic advancement and ecological protection. This study has the potential to align Tanzania's economic objectives with global environmental sustainability goals, which is critical for long-term growth.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good. The authors have provided overview of research objectives, methodology, findings and originality. It is suggested to include recommendations and policy implications	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. It is suggested to interpret the results in past tense. Kindly provide proper description of the table (table 2 & 3; eg. ANOVA of what?)	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is statistically robust and technically sound. Methodological aspects of the article also maintained high standards.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Kindly follow the recommended reference style of the journal. Add recent literatures also.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the article meets the standards expected for academic publications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heikham Narmila Devi
Department, University & Country	North-Eastern Hill University, India