

## ReviewForm3

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofAgriculturalExtension,Economics&amp;Sociology</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJAEES_122318
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>Paddy-basedCropping SystemsandtheirSustainability:AMicro-LevelStudyofNelloreDistrictofAndhraPradesh</b>
Typeofthe Article	<b>Scientificarticle</b>

# ReviewForm3

## PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think this manuscript is very important how it presents the sustainability of the paddy-based cropping systems in a country/region where agriculture holds an important significance and its benefits are vital.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, I think it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, although I would have developed it at least to 200-250 words, but it states the main points and ideas and perhaps it is a little bit too technical for an abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate and well structured, but Conclusions should be more developed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study in Nellore district, Andhra Pradesh, identified three major paddy-based cropping systems: paddy-groundnut, paddy-paddy, and paddy-cotton. Among these, the paddy-cotton system was found to be the most profitable and sustainable, with the highest net income (Rs. 169222.62) and a sustainability index of 60.93%. The paddy-groundnut and paddy-paddy systems had lower net returns and sustainability indices. Key factors influencing sustainability and profitability across these systems included the returns to cost ratio and the use of environmentally friendly inputs. I believe the study is robust enough and presents well the results of the research.	
Are there references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References should be more developed, at least 15-20 more, and current ones, from the last 2-3 years, too.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is correct.	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raul Pascalau
Department, University & Country	University Of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from Timisoara, Romania