

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_123047</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Maxillary Acanthomatous Ameloblastoma in Dog: Case Report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Reviewer comment:</p> <p>After a thorough review, the article is deemed to meet the novelty threshold, achieving a 90% novelty score as per the reviewer's evaluation. However, the author needs to include the ethical committee approval numbers in the ethical confirmation. Additionally, the disclaimer does not adequately define the scope of responsibility, liability, or rights related to the article, nor does it address any missing components of the main content but is suggesting hay while/ a contextual error information that is not the accurate content type found in the main article or is related to the article. The article lacks a detailed explanation of the histopathological data and fails to provide a point-by-point analysis and clarification regarding the tumour.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saurabh Dilip Bhandare</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Pharmacy, India</b>