

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_123047
Title of the Manuscript:	Maxillary Acanthomatous Ameloblastoma in Dog: Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript provides detailed case report of maxillary acanthomatous ameloblastoma in a dog, a condition that is less commonly documented in this location. It contributes valuable insights into the diagnosis, surgical management, and clinical outcomes for this type of tumor (though it lacks specific data/images)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract needs revision and should include additional diagnostic details.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, the manuscript lacks comprehensive information. Specific details regarding diagnostic imaging and the surgical procedure are missing. Additionally, information on alternative surgical or non-surgical treatments for acanthomatous ameloblastoma (CAA) is not provided. The manuscript requires major revisions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Information regarding treatment options is lacking and requires a more extensive literature review.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but needs major revision	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akhter Rasool
Department, University & Country	National Dairy Research Institute, India