

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_123667
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances and Challenges in Pest Management for Protected Cultivation in India: Integrative Approaches and Future Prospects
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript due to its comprehensive analysis of integrated pest management strategies, addresses both advancements and challenges in protected cultivation emphasizing effective and environmentally sustainable practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has to integrate few current research and technological advancements with a focus on Integrated Pest Management.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add recent review articles, studies, and works on poly house farming and IPM for a comprehensive reference list.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor refinements, such as improving sentence flow and enhancing conciseness, could further elevate its academic quality.	
Optional/General comments	Specify the geographical scope or any unique challenges specific to different regions of India that might add valuable context.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gummudala Yashaswini
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India