

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_123667
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances and Challenges in Pest Management for Protected Cultivation in India: Integrative Approaches and Future Prospects
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript gives a bibliographical review on pest control methods in protected area . It gives very useful information for farmers. This article/ review is also very useful for the scientific community working on biological control and Integrated Pest Management.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I can say yes, but author can improve to numbering some titles to show the logicals ideas	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because: 1° The introduction is well written with the problem well explained; 2° the bibliography is very complete and show all information need in pest management. 3° Regarding to the title, mean ideas are developed and clear which can be useful: we find useful information like, identification pests, pest monitoring strategies, and future prospects on cultivation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references sufficient and recent	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Numbering sub title to show main ideas and separate parties, Manuscript show 1 introduction and 4 Conclusion only: for example: I.Introduction, II.pests identification, III.pest monitoring strategies and future prospects. showing some taxonomic characteristics like Order of insect pest for more identification in table 1 Table 3 Add the scientific names and taxonomic order	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dushimirimana Severin
Department, University & Country	Burundi