

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_122985
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield of Turmeric Varieties [Curcuma longa (L.)] Under Eucalyptus (Eucalyptus tereticornis) Based Agroforestry System in Chhattisgarh Plain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript can give a synopsis of turmeric and eucalyptus agroforestry, which is important for the scientific community. My only gripe is that no new gaps in the research have shown up in this area. As a result, we need to go over some context to understand why this research is important: what about prior studies? In comparison to other studies, how is this one unique?	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is a question that encompasses the complete content of a study. The title does not need to be modified, just the background portion needs to be expanded again so that the goals and objectives to be reached may be seen.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add information on the background and objectives of the research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific and technical aspects of this work are solid, while the background information is lacking. None of the significance of this study is stressed. What makes this system worth using? Also left out is a discussion of how eucalyptus, turmeric, and agroforestry all work together. The sentences that go from one paragraph to another still don't go together. It appears that no research gap exists.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add a bibliography of nine	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kholif Sholehah Indra Kurniasih
Department, University & Country	Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani, Indonesia