

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_122554
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative studies of some Biochemical, Proximate Analysis, Mineral Composition and Acute Toxicity Study of two Medicinal Plants from Lagos suburb in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Article is good. Due to the comparison of useful medical plants • Research in medicinal plants will make the society more aware of its importance in day to day life. • Review of research will make the more findings related to these novel type of researches. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Title of the article to be modified, "Comparative studies of Biochemical, Proximate Analysis, Mineral Composition and Acute Toxicity Study of <i>Chromolaena odorata</i> and <i>Ipomoea involucrata</i> from Lagos suburb in Nigeria"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract comprehension is ok. But final sentence can be changed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the Table 2 Proximate analysis of <i>Chromolaena odorata</i> and <i>Ipomoea involucrata</i> . The table states about the compounds with % in calculation. But the values were mentioned in SEM _± . How is it possible. Please be explanatory.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok, sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision can be done.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V.Senthamarai Selvi
Department, University & Country	Dhanalakshmi Seinivasan University, India