

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_123836
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Probiotic Properties of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Overripe Avocado Pear on selected Bacterial species
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Probiotics are very health promising and scientific communities reported different strains as probiotics in every year. The isolation of LAB from avocado pear is different approach but identification of isolated strains through Morphological and biochemical tests are not reliable to establish Lactobacillus as probiotics. I think author must identified the isolated strains through 16S rDNA methods or other gene sequencing methods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p style="text-align: center;">Assessment of Probiotic Properties of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Overripe Avocado Pear on selected Bacterial species</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Title replaced with "Probiotic Properties of <i>L. casei</i> and <i>L. plantarum</i> isolated from Overripe Avocado Pear."</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is concise and clear	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS is well presented and data are properly compiled. Some typographical errors are there and author should critically analyse the MS and correct the grammatical errors. Some suggestions are added within the MS.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add some recent references	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some grammatical errors are there, author should correct are the sentences properly	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabhjot Kaur Gill
Department, University & Country	Sri Guru Ram Das University of Health Sciences, India