

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_123619
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Sustainability of Incentive-Based Conservation: A Case Study of the Taungya System in the Mount Elgon Conservation Area, Uganda
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The Taungya system is a notable example of an incentive-based conservation model that integrates agriculture with reforestation efforts. Understanding its sustainability provides valuable insights into how such systems can achieve both environmental and socio-economic goals. The manuscript offers a detailed evaluation of the system's effectiveness, which can serve as a model for assessing similar programs in other regions. It helps refine the criteria for evaluating incentive-based conservation models. The manuscript offers valuable contributions to the scientific community by providing a detailed evaluation of an incentive-based conservation system, addressing key challenges, offering practical recommendations, and contributing to broader discussions on conservation policy and community engagement. Its findings have the potential to inform future research, policy-making, and the design of more effective and sustainable conservation programs.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract was nicely written .</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The study employs a robust evaluation framework to assess key sustainability factors, including land tenure, land size, and agricultural practices. By integrating empirical data and addressing both ecological and socio-economic dimensions, the manuscript provides a nuanced understanding of the system's challenges and potential. Furthermore, the incorporation of recent literature and relevant case studies enhances the scientific validity and relevance of the findings, contributing valuable insights to the field of conservation science.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>No, some recent references needs to be incorporated in the manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rupali Singh
Department, University & Country	India