

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_121792
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Marginal Field Production using Electric Submersible Pump: Niger Delta Case Study
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>DEAR Editor-in-Chief: Ms_AARR_121792            I am writing to provide my final recommendations on the manuscript titled "Effective Marginal Field Production using Electric Submersible pump: Niger Delta Case Study." After reviewing the manuscript, I would like to make a final recommendation to enhance the clarity and reproducibility of the study.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Current State Description: The abstract now provides a contextual background on the challenges faced in the Niger Delta, including the reliance on traditional oil extraction methods and the benefits of implementing Electric Submersible Pumps (ESPs).</li> <li>2. Software Versions: The specific versions of the software used in the study (PIPESIM, Version 2019; INTERSECT, Version X.X; PETREL, Version Y.Y) are not clearly mentioned, enhancing the clarity and reproducibility of the research.</li> <li>3. Abbreviation Table: The authors have included an abbreviation table in the manuscript is not available to clarify specialized terms and units.</li> <li>4. Equations: The methodology section of the paper will explicitly state the equations used, as recommended.</li> <li>5. Validation: The revised manuscript will include validation of the modeling results against experimental data, ensuring the scientific reliability of the findings.</li> </ol> <p>The revisions made by the authors address all the concerns raised in my initial review, and the updated abstract effectively communicates the scope and impact of the study. I believe these changes significantly enhance the manuscript's quality.            Therefore, I recommend that the revised manuscript be accepted for publication.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my final recommendation.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Farnaz Hosseini</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Islamic Azad University, Iran</b></p>