

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123548
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Effects of Capacity Building and Training (CBT) on Sericulture Practices of Farmers in Rajouri District, UT Jammu and Kashmir
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Being a training impact study, the manuscript is not as such important to scientific community, but it highlights the importance of training to sericulture farmers and would be helpful in formulating policies for the farmers in the area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Impact of Capacity Building and Training (CBT) on Sericulture Practices of Farmers in Rajouri District of Jammu and Kashmir" may be considered.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive. Minor corrections marked in reviewed file.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. Introduction is very well written. Materials and methods are appropriate. Results are presented well and discussed with earlier studies of the region. Statistical procedures are also appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	References are sufficient and recent. Though there are some corrections which are marked in the reviewed file; references were not in alphabetical order; not cited properly a few times and some are missing too. Authors need to correct them and crosscheck again critically.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, English quality is quite suitable.	
Optional/General comments	SEE ATTACHMENT	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Kumar
Department, University & Country	Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, India