

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123548
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Effects of Capacity Building and Training (CBT) on Sericulture Practices of Farmers in Rajouri District, UT Jammu and Kashmir
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript clearly demonstrate the effect of Capacity Building and Training programme on the cultivators of Sericulture. I also think it is important because the benefit of CBT programme is important to develop the sericulture farmers. Moreover, this type programme/scheme also should be implemented by other states of the country to develop the sericulture and increase the employment in this sector.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract also looks suitable to demonstrate the main findings of the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections should be serially to distinguish it from the main sections. In the manuscript a reader cannot distinguish which are sub-section and which are main section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since the manuscript prepared on the basis of empirical data, hence I think it is scientifically correct to discuss the issue. But, the study can be more robust if it will apply some more statistical technique such as propensity score technique, difference and difference analysis etc. I would like to suggest the researcher to do more research on this area and adopt the above mention technique for analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	More or less the references look sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. The language quality is fine for the scholarly communication. 2. Initial section of the results and discussion need to revise where it has mention as chapter. I research paper, there should not have chapter, there should be section. 3. The subsections should be serially number as 3.1, 3.2, 3.3.... etc. 4. In conclusion few more findings should be added.	
Optional/General comments	Over all the manuscript is good can be accepted after minor revision.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dimpal Dekaraja
Department, University & Country	Nowgong College, India