Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JGEESI_121972**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ASSESSING THE FOREST PROVISIONING ECOSYSTEM SERVICES AND USE IN FOREST-SAVANNA ECOLOGICAL ZONE**
Type of the Article	**Research**

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**1. The work pick researchable topic** **2. The format is good, but the following issues are critical (i) the method is dubious, and (ii) results reporting is weak.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**1. The method section is incomplete. Only methods of data collection is mentions, but the sampling type, sample size and data analysis should be covered.** **2. The objective is a copy-past of its title.** **3. The results/findings of the study is not properly stated as there are general concepts**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Not appropriate. For instance, the discussion has 4, but without subheading 4.1 and 4.2, the authors used 4.3 Dependence on Natural Resource.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This work is not sound and robust.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	**Not adequate. They should add related references.**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	1. capitalization errors 2. Some references in the main boy are not properly write, see as they are highlighted 3. Langue-need critical language edition. 4. What is "ecoservice", is there differences with ecosystem service? This is not clear. 5. No measurable objectives	
Optional/General comments	The method is not appropriate. The results are lack showing the sociodemography of the population. The narration for Tables 5 and 6, and figures 5and 6 are not adequate. The discussion is also results of your study collected by informant interview paragraphs 1 and 3.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Wondimagegn Mengist**
Department, University & Country	**Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia**