

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_123565</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Trajectory and Risk Factors of Acute Spontaneous Subdural Hematoma complicating course of hospitalization in Critically Ill Patients: A Five-Year Case Series Study.</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is good for critical care specialist and intensivists to vigilant for neurological deterioration in ICU patients and helping them to take early decision to get Radiological investigation like CT scan and also helpful to neurosurgeon to manage accordingly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In result section -The outcome showed 55.5% 90-day mortality and severe morbidity should be changed to, The outcome showed 66.6%% 180-day mortality and severe morbidity or 44.4% mortality during hospital stay.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Abbreviations:- CLASBI: Central line associated blood stream infection should be written as CLABSI: Central line associated blood stream infection and it should be apply in whole manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality is good but in some places abbreviations and full form both are used in text which should be improved like- percutaneous coronary angioplasty PTCA 4 patients (in table 2 description), A third of the patients (33.3%) achieved full recovery (measured in terms of Glasgow Outcome Scale- GOS on discharge 5) (in table 4 description)	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Article is good and but required some improvisation as per suggestions.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sachidanand Gautam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Govt Medical College, India</b>