

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_123565
Title of the Manuscript:	Trajectory and Risk Factors of Acute Spontaneous Subdural Hematoma complicating course of hospitalization in Critically Ill Patients: A Five-Year Case Series Study.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript presents an interesting retrospective observation of the development/presence of acute subdural haematoma in critically ill patients with different causes of primary disease but eventually limits itself in discussing the likely causes and effects of the same and stand their ground. This can be elaborately discussed to improve relevance of the question being asked and advising changes in management protocols, especially if there is history of anticoagulant use as is seen in many elderly patients being admitted for various causes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest lesser initial use of abbreviations as they have not been elaborated in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript needs rewriting at places like 'monitoring for new <u>neurodeficit</u> , early detection and protocolled treatment among such patients' or 'definitely elderly were more group affected' or 'Co-morbidities especially ischaemic heart disease, hypertension predominated in 66.6% cases; Daibetes mellitus, renal insufficiency' or 'systematic review analyses <u>corborate</u> the same facts' to be more academically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, although the format of citations needs to be rewritten and uniform	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript needs rewriting at places like 'monitoring for new <u>neurodeficit</u> , early detection and protocolled treatment among such patients' or 'definitely elderly were more group affected' or 'Co-morbidities especially ischaemic heart disease, hypertension predominated in 66.6% cases; Daibetes mellitus, renal insufficiency' or 'systematic review analyses <u>corborate</u> the same facts' to be more academically sound.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harnoor Kaur Mamik
Department, University & Country	Santokba Durlabhji Memorial Hospital, India