

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_117230
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving the quality of operative notes for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Assessing the impact of a standardized operation note
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>The issue is important</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Title Repetition:</b> The title of the article, "Improving the quality of operative notes for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Assessing the impact of a standardized operation note," appears to be an exact repetition of a title from a previous publication in the International Journal of Surgery.</li> <li><b>Outdated References:</b> Some references highlighted in the Word file are outdated and should be updated to more recent publications, preferably up to 2019. Ensuring that the references are current and relevant will strengthen the credibility and currency of the research presented in the manuscript.</li> <li><b>Type of Article and Structure:</b> The manuscript does not seem to adhere to a specific structure commonly seen in research articles. It is important to identify the type of article this manuscript represents (e.g., original research, review article, case study) and ensure that it follows the standard structure expected for that type of article. Lack of adherence to a structured format may impact the clarity and organization of the content.</li> <li><b>Message and Importance:</b> The manuscript should clearly articulate its main message and significance to the scientific community and the world at large. It is essential to define the exact message the authors aim to convey and the importance of their findings. Understanding the unique contribution of the research and its implications for clinical practice, patient care, or future research is crucial for establishing the significance of the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Language and Structure:</b> Paying attention to the language quality, ensuring a smooth flow of information, and maintaining a logical structure throughout the manuscript is crucial. Any inconsistencies in language, structure, or formatting should be addressed to enhance the overall readability and professionalism of the article.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Based on the identified issues with the manuscript, including title repetition, outdated references, lack of adherence to a structured format, and unclear communication of the message and importance of the research.</p> <p>The concerns raised regarding the originality of the title, currency of references, and overall organization of the manuscript suggest that the article may not meet the standards required for publication in a high-impact factor journal.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sara Bagheri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>