

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123706
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimate of Heritability Genetic Advances Genotypic and Phenotypic Correlation in Bread Wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript may be useful for the plant scientists involved in wheat improvement under heat stress situations globally. As this provide information about performance of selected wheat genotypes under different heat stress condition under study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	As the title of this does not reveals the study of genotypes under heat stress situations. Estimation of heritability, Genetic advance and correlation in Bread wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) under heat stress condition.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	First line in abstract :The current study was conducted on several genotypes during crop season <u>should</u> include 60 germplasm of bread wheat instaed of several genotypes . High genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were observed for plant waxiness, followed by grain yield per plant and leaf rolling. Values may be mentioned in brackets after parameters.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Uniformity in units should be maintained throughout viz,% or percent, g or gm ,days to 50% heading, °C Number of spike bearing tillers per plant instead of spike bearing tiller per plant, unit of chlorophyll content, Scientific names should ne italicised.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Abbreviations of parameters may be mentioned below tables.Years should be mentioned properly like 2021-2022 and 2022-2023.It provides data of wheat study under heat stress situations	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, good one.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality may be further improved.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Satish Chandra Narayan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RPCAU, India</b>