

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123486
Title of the Manuscript:	In-vitro evaluation of different fungal bio-agents on egg hatching of Meloidogyne incognita causing root-knot disease in tomato
Type of the Article	research

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript described in vitro evaluation of different bio-agents at different concentrations on egg hatching of Meloidogyne incognita. Root-knot disease caused by Meloidogyne spp. is a serious problem in tomato and management options other than chemicals need to be explored for management of these pests.</li> <li>The manuscript tried to address one of the management options.</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive. Grammatical errors may be corrected	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. Author may give numbering to the subsections for better clarity. Subsections may be aligned stepwise as per procedure.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The scientific methodology used is appropriate and the result is well explained.</li> <li>There are table 1 and table 2 which almost give similar information. Table 1 may be removed for better clarity.</li> <li>The results may be discussed with previous studies, only outcomes may be compared. There is no need to elaborate previous studies.</li> <li>Author has mentioned the extraction of cell free filtrate. But nowhere the results were reported. Author may clarify in this regard.</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More recent References may be included.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs improvement.	
Optional/General comments	The study reported in this manuscript is preliminary and requires repetition multiple times. Author may test for juvenile mortality also with the reported bioagents before proceeding to further experimentation in pots and field.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gayatri Bandaru</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR-NRRI, India</b>