

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123430
Title of the Manuscript:	LC-MS BASED TARGETED METABOLITE PROFILING, AAS BASED MINERAL PROFILING IN METHANOL LEAF EXTRACT OF Terminalia bellerica (Gaertn.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study has been conducted with proper methodology Plant identification and authentication could have been done before extraction procedure. Table alignment could be done The study is important, as it brings out the traditional knowledge, to be used by the People.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable to the contents.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is Comprehensive and no addition or deletion is required	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, also if the table contents are arranged properly, it would be good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	In the text, mention about standards are given whereas in the results, standards are not compared.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lali Growther
Department, University & Country	Hindusthan College of Arts & Science, India